



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025*

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

WEB COPY

DATED : 20.08.2025

CORAM :

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025 and  
Crl.MP(MD) No.11083 of 2025

Manojkumar

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Tamilnadu, Rep.,  
By the Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Madurai District.  
(Crime No.25 of 2025).

2.V.P.K.Baskar

...Respondents

**Prayer :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records pertaining to Crime No.25 of 2025 dated 31.07.2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, DCB, Madurai District and quash the same insofar as this petitioner is concerned.

For Petitioner  
For R1

: Mr.D.S.Haroon Rasheed  
: Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad  
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025*

## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A3 in Crime No. 25 of 2025 has filed this petition, seeking quashment of the above proceedings.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant has entered into a contract deed with the accused persons for purchase of a property in S.No.57/1, 58/7, 58/8, to an extent of 82 cents at Kochadai, Madurai. However, they have failed to execute the same. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is an innocent and he has acted as a power agent of one Muthukrishnan. He was not aware of the dispute, if any with Muthukrishnan. A1 and A2 approached the defacto complainant for selling the property belonging to Muthukrishnan and since the petitioner is having a power deed, a document was also executed by the petitioner in favour of the defacto complainant. However, the document has not been released by the Sub Registrar as the Sub Registrar is having a doubt with regard to the right of Muthukrishnan. In this dispute, this petitioner has also been added as an accused. The learned counsel further submits that he is an innocent

Power of Attorney executed the document on behalf of Muthukrishnan



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025*

WEB COPY

and he is not the owner of the property. Moreover, he is not aware that the said Muthukrishnan is having a title over the property or not.

4.Mr.Kottaichamy, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), who takes notice for the first respondent strongly objects for quashing the proceedings in Crime No.25 of 2025 that the grounds raised by this petitioner are matter for trial.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent.

6.Though the petitioner has raised several grounds, this Court is of the opinion that it can be appreciated only during the trial. The investigation agency shall find out the truth, by considering the submissions made by this petitioner during the course of investigation. Whether this petitioner is having any role in the commission of offence and whether he is a scapegoat can be identified only during the investigation. Merely because he has acted as a power agent, this Court is not inclined to quash the proceedings at the initial stage of investigation.



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025*

WEB COPY

The respondent police shall consider the grounds raised by the petitioner in this petition and also consider the representation of this petitioner, dated 15.07.2025, during the course of investigation and file the final report. The petitioner is at liberty to challenge the final report, in the manner known to law.

7. With such liberty, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**20.08.2025**

NCC : Yes/No  
Index : Yes/No  
Internet: Yes  
vrn

To

The Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025*

**B.PUGALENDHI,J**

vrn

**Order made in**  
**Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13852 of 2025 and**  
**Crl.MP(MD) No.11083 of 2025**

**20.08.2025**