



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1010 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 02.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1010 of 2025

Angusamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.Rethinam

4.M.Govindaraj

5.Rasu

6.N.Kumar

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the Respondents 1 and 2 to give adequate police protection to conduct “Mulaippari Thiruvizha Urchavam” for the Goddess Arulmigu Muthumariyamman Temple situated in



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1010 of 2025

the petitioner's Village to be conducted by the common villagers from 02.09.2025 to 10.09.2025, which is proposed to conduct without giving “Muthal Mariyathai” to anybody by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 26.06.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Radhakrishnan
For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor
For R-3 to R-5 : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthik
For R-6 : No appearance

ORDER

The Writ Petition is filed for Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to give adequate police protection to conduct “Mulaippari Thiruvizha Urchavam” for the Goddess Arulmigu Muthumariyamman Temple situated in the petitioner's Village by the common villagers from 02.09.2025 to 10.09.2025, which is proposed to be conducted without giving “Muthal Mariyathai” to anybody by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 26.06.2025.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions, would submit that the petitioner's representation was considered and an order was



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1010 of 2025

passed on 25.08.2025, rejecting the request made by the petitioner on the grounds stated therein. He would further submit that the said order was also communicated to the petitioner.

3. Since the petitioner's representation has already been considered and rejected by the first respondent, the remedy available to the petitioner is to challenge the said communication in the manner known to law.

4. With the above observations, this Writ Petition stands disposed of.
There shall be no order as to costs.

02.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1010 of 2025

To

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1010 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1010 of 2025

02.09.2025