



CRL OP(MD). No.13639 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.13639 of 2025

Yovan

..Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Radhapuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.371 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mageshkumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.371 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.13639 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 194 altered to Section 103(1), 109(1), 118(1), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS 2023, in Crime No.371 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail,

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons have attacked the son of the defacto complainant with sickle, due to which, he died. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that based on the confession of co-accused, the petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the petitioner along with other accused persons had murdered



CRL OP(MD). No.13639 of 2025

the son of the defacto complainant. He further submitted that initially the case was registered under Section 194 subsequently, the Sections were altered to 103(1), 109(1), 118(1), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS 2023. Hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner has involved in very serious offence and the investigation is at initial stage. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(S S Y J)
23.10.2025

msrm

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Radhapuram.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Radhapuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

3/4



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.13639 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13639 of 2025**

23.10.2025