

CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

1.Ramakrishnan

2.Selvameena @ Meena

..Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 and 2

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Sub Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.445 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Alagumani
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.445 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent
Police.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 329(4), 324(4), 115(2), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita in Crime No.445 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.07.2025, when the defacto complainant was alone at his mother-in-law's complex, the petitioners along with other accused persons have come to the complex shops, abused the defacto complainant with filthy language, threatened him to withdraw the complaint preferred by his mother-in-law on 27.07.2025 regarding the rental dispute with the petitioners. Further, the petitioners and other accused persons have destroyed the materials available in the shop and allegedly assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence a complaint was given by the defacto complainant before the respondent police and a case has been registered.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto complainant forcibly entered into the shop and illegally vacated the petitioners from the shop and taken away all the materials. He further submits that



CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

the petitioners are ready and willing to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that there are two named accused and 20 unnamed accused persons in this case. The petitioners are tenants under the defacto complainant. Due to enmity with regard to collection of rent, the defacto complainant entered into the shop of the petitioners. Therefore, a quarrel arose between them. Both parties were sustained simple injury in this incident. A counter case in Crime No.444 of 2025 before the respondent police has also been registered against the defacto complainant. There is no bad antecedent against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also the fact that the injured has sustained only simple injuries and also the fact that a counter case has also been filed against the defacto complainant and also taking into account of the fact that by this time, material part of the investigation might have been completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of



CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Dindigul,
WEB COPY
on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/-
(Rupees Twenty five Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the
satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or
to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Dindigul, and on further
conditions that:


(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb
impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar
card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number
to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Dindigul. In the event of any change in their
residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the Judicial Magistrate
No.1, Dindigul;

(d) the petitioners shall appear and sign before the Singampunari police station,
daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders;

(e) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial;

(f) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

WEB COPY

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(h) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
14/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pnn

TO:-

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.1,
Dindigul.

2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Singampunari Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

<https://www.mhc.in.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.13556 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13556 of 2025
Date :14/08/2025

AS/16.09.2025/6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.