



W.P.(MD)No.22270 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI**

W.P.(MD) No.22270 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD).No.17383 of 2025

T.Subburaju

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,  
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Limited,  
Head Office, 4th Floor,  
CMDA Tower-2,  
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

2.The District Manager/ Deputy Collector,  
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Limited,  
Karur District,  
Karur.

: Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the second respondent in his proceedings in Na.Ka.C.V. 2/1221-4/2024, dated 26.10.2024 and quash the same as illegal, violation of law and further direct the respondents to appoint the Petitioner as a Salesmen, with all service benefits within the stipulated time.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.22270 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jothi Basu

For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.H.Arumugam

### **ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed seeking to quash the proceedings of the second respondent in Na.Ka.C.V.2/1221-4/2024, dated 26.10.2024 and further to direct the respondents to appoint the Petitioner as a Salesmen, with all service benefits within the stipulated time.

2. By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was employed as a Salesman in the Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Limited (TASMAC). Owing to certain allegations, he was placed under suspension, and till date, the suspension has not been revoked. Therefore, the grievance of the petitioner is that the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him have not been concluded, and hence, he prays for an appropriate direction to the second



W.P.(MD)No.22270 of 2025

WEB COPY

respondent to conclude the disciplinary proceedings within a time frame fixed by this Court.

4. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the disciplinary proceedings will be completed within the period that may be stipulated by this Court.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side, this Court is of the view that the disciplinary proceedings cannot be kept pending indefinitely. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of with the following directions:

(i) The concerned respondent is directed to pay subsistence allowance to the petitioner, if not already paid, strictly in accordance with law.

(ii) The respondents are directed to conclude the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.22270 of 2025

No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is

WEB COPY  
closed.

**14.08.2025**

Index : Yes / No  
NCC : Yes / No  
TSG



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.22270 of 2025

**M.DHANDAPANI, J.**

TSG

**W.P.(MD) No.22270 of 2025**

**14.08.2025**