



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.939 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.939 of 2025

Pl.Karuppaiah

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police
Sivagangai, Sivagangai District.

2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Nerkuppai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for records pertaining to the 2nd respondent's impugned order in Na.Ka.No. 11/V1PS/Proceedings/2025 dated 06.08.2025 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct them grant permission and necessary protection for conducting the cultural program "Adal Padal" on 15.08.2025 at about 07.00 p.m to 11.00 p.m in connection with "Temple Festival" of Arulmigu Sri Chinnaiah Swami Temple, Nerkuppai Village, Thiruppathur, Sivagangai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.939 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.N.A.Palaniyandi

For Respondents : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner sought permission to conduct a cultural programme during the temple festival, which was rejected by the respondent police on account of the petitioner's antecedents. Aggrieved by the order of rejection dated 06.08.2025, the petitioner has filed the present petition.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the request was made solely for conducting a cultural programme during the temple festival, and that there was no necessity for the respondent police to refer to the petitioner's criminal antecedents.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner is a notorious criminal and is having 9 cases to his credit. The details of the cases pending against the petitioner is also produced before this court.

4. This court considered the rival submissions made.



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.939 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. The petitioner sought permission to conduct a cultural programme. However, in view of the petitioner's antecedents, the respondent police apprehend that granting such permission may lead to a law-and-order problem. This Court finds the apprehension of the respondent police to be justified. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned order of the second respondent.

13.08.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
skn

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police
Sivagangai, Sivagangai District.
- 2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Nerkuppai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.939 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

skn

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.939 of 2025

13.08.2025