



W.P.(MD) No.22100 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN

W.P(MD)No.22100 of 2025

Kailash Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director of Town Panchayat,
8th Floor, Urban Administrative Company,
Raja Annamalaipuram, Chennai.

2.The Executive Officer,
Suchindrum Town Panchayat.

3.C.Thanganadar,
2/55, Panai Vilagam,
Kuzhicode, Kanyakumari District.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to blacklist/debar the 3rd respondent from participating in future tender in accordance with law ,based on my representation dated 01.07.2025.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.22100 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.Puhazhgandhi
For R1 and R2 : Mr.D.Ghandiraj
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The writ petition is disposed at the time of admission, after hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the official respondents, after dispensing notice on the third respondent.

2. It is the specific case of the petitioner that in response to the tender that was floated on 28.05.2025 prescribing the last date for making the bid as 17.06.2025, the petitioner and also the third respondent had participated in the aforesaid tender. In that, the third respondent was selected during the technical evaluation of the bid and the petitioner's bid was ousted. It is submitted that subsequently, the petitioner gave a representation dated 01.07.2025. Pursuant to which the respondents have cancelled the tender that was called on 28.05.2025 and have now proceeded to issue a fresh tender.



W.P.(MD) No.22100 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. It is submitted that the third respondent had filed several forged documents and the attempt of the respondents including the tender awarding authority was to favour the third respondent. The petitioner submits that the third respondent has to be blacklisted as he resorted to forgery while submitting the bid documents in response to the tender floated on 28.05.2025. In this connection, learned counsel for the petitioner has attempted to draw attention of the Court to few documents to indicate that the stamp papers are of the year 2025 in respect of the contract that were awarded during the preceding years to the third respondent.

4. These are the matters which are required to be examined by the first respondent. Considering the same, this writ petition is disposed without expressing any opinion on merit, by directing the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 01.07.2025 to blacklist the third respondent. Needless to state that before blacklisting the third respondent, the third respondent shall be heard. The petitioner may also be permitted to make submissions, in case, the request of the petitioner is to be rejected.



W.P.(MD) No.22100 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. With the above observation, this Writ Petition stands disposed.

No costs.

12.08.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

pnn

To

- 1.The Director of Town Panchayat,
8th Floor, Urban Administrative Company,
Raja Annamalaipuram, Chennai.
- 2.The Executive Officer,
Suchindrum Town Panchayat.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.22100 of 2025

C.SARAVANAN, J.

pnn

W.P(MD)No.22100 of 2025

Dated: 12.08.2025