



CRL OP(MD). No.13413 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.13413 of 2025

1.Manjunathan @ Manjunatha,
S/o.Iyanadore
2.Jamila,
W/o.Gangadhara
3.Gangadharan @ Gangadhara,
S/o.Swamy

... Petitioners/ A1, A2 & A6

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Srivaikundam,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.24 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.T.Sasidharan Tamilkani,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.24 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ A1, A2 & A6, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD). No.13413 of 2025

respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 85 of BNS, 2023 r/w.

WEB COPY

Section 4 of Dowry prohibition Act in Crime No.24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the 1st petitioner and the de-facto complainant are husband and wife. At the time of marriage, the de-facto complainant's family gave 40 sovereigns of gold jewels as dowry, and both families had agreed to share the marriage expenses. However, the 1st petitioner's family contributed only Rs.80,000/- and refused to pay the remaining amount. It is further alleged that the petitioners and other accused demanded additional dowry from the de-facto complainant. Hence, the present case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are totally six accused persons in this case and the petitioners have been arrayed as A1, A2 and A6. The accused demanded additional dowry from the de-facto complainant. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.13413 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that as the issue pertains to a matrimonial dispute, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District. In the event of



CRL OP(MD). No.13413 of 2025

any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District;

(d) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(e) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(h) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
13/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.13413 of 2025

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District.
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.
- +1 CC to M/s.S.T.SASIDHARAN TAMILKANI, Advocate (SR-8797[I] dated 13/08/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13413 of 2025
Date :13/08/2025

NBF/SAR- /28/08/2025/ 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023