



CRL OP(MD). No.13395 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.13395 of 2025

Deepan Karthic,
S/o.Gnanasekaran

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
Kodaikkanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.240 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ragul,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.240 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.240 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory

bail

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.13395 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant and the petitioner were business partners. Due to certain misunderstandings, on 08.10.2024, they started doing timber business separately. It is alleged that owing to a monetary dispute between them, on 03.08.2025, at about 9.00 a.m., while the de-facto complainant was standing in front of the Pentecost Church, S.S. Colony, the petitioner, along with other accused, abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him, and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He, however, submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are totally four accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. He further submitted that the injured was treated only as an outpatient. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that the injured was treated



CRL OP(MD). No.13395 of 2025

only as an outpatient, and that as the date of registration of FIR is 03.08.2025, by this
WEB COPY
time material part of the investigation might have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Kodaikkanal, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Kodaikkanal, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Kodaikkanal. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Kodaikkanal;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m.,



CRL OP(MD). No.13395 of 2025

until further orders;

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
19/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/09/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

mkn

TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.2,
Kodaikkanal

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.13395 of 2025

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kodaikkanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.M.RAGUL, Advocate (SR-9056[I] dated 21/08/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13395 of 2025
Date :19/08/2025

SBN/10.09.2025 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023