



CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.08.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

- 1.Pavulraj @ Paulraj
- 2.Sdairis @ Styris
- 3.Kumarn @ Selvakumaran
- 4.Selvi @ Senthamil Selvi
- 5.Sheela @ Jebasheela
- 6.Rubala @ Jeyarubala
- 7.Thangarani

... Petitioners/
Accused 1to7

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu, rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.442 of 2025)

... Respondent/
Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Manikandan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.442 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 7, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 329(3), 296(b), 118(1) and 133 BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.442 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, on 28.07.2025 at about 01.15 p.m., the petitioners trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and attacked the defacto complainant and his mother and friend and abused them in filthy language. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution and that a false case has been registered against the



CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

petitioners. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide any conditions which may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that due to previous enmity, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and his mother and friend. He would further submit that the injured were discharged from the hospital. There is no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also considering the fact that the injured were discharged from the hospital and taking note of the fact that the petitioners are not having any previous case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate,



CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

Radhapuram, Tirunelveli District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a
WEB COPY
bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties,
each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police
Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate,
Radhapuram, Tirunelveli District and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb
impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar
card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and contact number
to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram, Tirunelveli District. In the event of
any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the
learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram, Tirunelveli District;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m.,
until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

WEB COPY

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
12/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CSM
TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Radhapuram,
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Inspector of Police,

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.13373 of 2025

Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.SUDALAIYANDI, Advocate (SR-8702[I] dated 12/08/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13373 of 2025

Date :12/08/2025

HPS/10.09.2025 6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023