



CRL OP(MD).No.13238 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.13238 of 2025

Karthick Raja

..Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur,
Madurai.
(Crime No.122 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.M.Kannan
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.122 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : This Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused No.1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.13238 of 2025

custody on 27.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 309(6) and 311
WEB COPY
Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.122 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.06.2025, the petitioner / A1 along with another accused came in a two wheeler and committed robbery of Rs.10,000/- from the defacto complainant at knife point and made life threat. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that respondent police has lodged a false complaint against this petitioner. The petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. This petitioner is no way connected with this case. The second accused in this case has been enlarged on anticipatory bail by the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Madurai in Crl.M.P.No.3574 of 2025 dated 20.08.2025. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 27.06.2025 nearly 60 days. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that there



CRL OP(MD).No.13238 of 2025

WEB COPY

are totally two accused in this case. Petitioner is the first accused. There are thirteen (13) previous cases against the petitioner in similar nature. The allegation against the petitioner in this case is he has threatened the defacto complainant, who is working in a private finance company and committed robbery of Rs.10,000/- at knife point from him. The amount has been recovered from the petitioner herein. However, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that second accused in this case has been enlarged on anticipatory bail by the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Madurai in Crl.M.P.No.3574 of 2025 dated 20.08.2025, FIR was registered on 27.06.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed and the petitioner is in custody for the past 60 days as he was remanded into judicial custody on 27.06.2025 and taking into consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate,



CRL OP(MD).No.13238 of 2025

Vadipatti, Madurai District, and on further conditions that :-

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and contact number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, Madurai District. If the petitioner changes his residential address, he shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, Madurai District;

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.00 a.m. and 05.00 p.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court herself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD).No.13238 of 2025

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
25/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

25/08/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pnn
TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti,
Madurai District.
2. Do Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madurai.
3. The Officer In-charge, District Jail,
Dindigul.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur,
Madurai.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13238 of 2025
Date :25/08/2025

HPS/25.08.2025 5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023