



W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.09.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **DR.JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH**
AND
THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

and

C.M.P(MD)Nos.15026 & 15027 of 2025

C.Saravanan

...Appellant/3rd Party

Vs.

1.Indirani

... 1st Respondent/Writ Petitioner

2.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Aathur Taluk,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents 2 to 4/
Respondents 1 to 3

PRAYER:- Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, to set aside the order made in W.P.(MD)No.7084 of 2025 dated 17.03.2025 on the file of this Court.

1/6



WEB COPY



W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

For Appellant : Mr.R.R.Kannan
For R – 1 : Mr.D.Saravanan
For RR 2 to 4 : Mr.M.Sarangan
Additional Government Pleader

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was made by **DR.ANITA SUMANTH, J.**)

W.P(MD)No.7084 of 2025 had been filed by Indirani seeking a Mandamus directing the Tahsildar, Aathur Taluk, Dindigul District, to carry out corrections in the revenue records pertaining to the land in Survey No.55/1A1A, admeasuring 20 cents situated at Keezhkottai Village, Aathur Taluk, Dindigul District (property/property in question).

2.After hearing the writ petitioner and official respondents, the Writ Petition came to be disposed on 17.03.2025, with a direction to the authorities to pass final orders on the writ petitioner's application dated 16.10.2024 taking into account the order passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Dindigul District. The Court stated that the exercise shall be completed within four weeks.

2/6



W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.In the meantime, one C.Saravanan, who was also aggrieved by order dated 21.06.2024, had filed an appeal before the District Revenue Officer, Dindigul District, on 18.07.2024, challenging the very same order where Indrani had been arrayed as a party. Since C.Saravanan was not a party in W.P(MD)No.7084 of 2025, the impugned order came to be passed on 17.03.2025 without reference to the pending appeal. Consequently, leave was sought by C.Saravanan and hence the present Writ Appeal is coming up before us.

4.Mr.D.Saravanan, learned counsel appearing for the first respondent/writ petitioner would submit that pending the present proceedings, summons were issued to the first respondent by the District Revenue Officer on 18.08.2025. He further submitted that in light of the pending appeal, the directions set out in order dated 17.03.2025 are not feasible of compliance and in fact, it would not be appropriate to do so. This is recorded.



W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.Since notice has now been issued to all the parties by the District Revenue Officer, let the appeal filed by the appellant on 18.07.2024, be disposed of within a period of twelve (12) weeks from today, after hearing all the parties and in accordance with law.

6.This Writ Appeal is disposed of in the above terms. No costs.
Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

[A.S.M.J.] & [C.K.J.]
15.09.2025

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes
ps



W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Aathur Taluk,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

DR.ANITA SUMANTH, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ps

W.A(MD)No.2645 of 2025

15.09.2025