



CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

1.Arul Jothi,
W/o.Chinnadurai

2.C.Chinnadurai,
S/o.Chellaiah

3.Muthusamy,
S/o.Sankaran

4.Essakkiammal,
W/o.Muthusamy

Vs

... Petitioners/ Accused

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
Thattarmadam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.112 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Ramasamy,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

WEB COPY
PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.112 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 9 @ 9 & 10 of Child Marriage Act in Crime No.112 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 05.06.2025, the de-facto complainant, who is the Village Administrative Officer of Thiruppaniputhan Tharuvai Village, received information from the Child Welfare Department regarding the conduct of a child marriage within his jurisdiction. Acting upon the said information, the de-facto complainant conducted an enquiry and found that the petitioners had solemnized the marriage of a minor girl, who had not attained the age of 18 years at the time of the marriage. Hence, the present case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and have not committed any offence as alleged by



CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

the prosecution. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He submitted that the 1st accused was arrested and subsequently released on bail. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are totally five accused persons in this case. A1 was arrested and subsequently released on bail. He submitted that the petitioners are in-laws of the 1st accused and the victim girl. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that the petitioners are only in-laws of the 1st accused and the victim girl, and that the 1st accused was arrested and subsequently released on bail, and that as the date of registration of FIR is 14.06.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of



CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

WEB COPY

arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police weekly twice i.e. on every Monday and Thursday at 10.00 a.m. until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

investigation or trial;

WEB COPY

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
11/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

MKN

TO

1 The Judicial Magistrate,
Sathankulam,
Thoothukudi District.



CRL OP(MD). No.13084 of 2025

2 The Inspector of Police,
Thattarmadam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

3 The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13084 of 2025
Date :11/08/2025

NM/26.08.2025/ 6P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023