



W.P.(MD)No.21935 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI**

W.P.(MD) No.21935 of 2025

C.Christu Das

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. The Commissioner of School Education,
College Road, Chennai.
3. The Chief Educational Officer,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.
4. The District Educational Officer,
Marthandam, Kanyakumari District.
5. The Correspondent,
Concordia Lutheran High School,
Kalporapottai, Kollencode Post,
Kanyakumari District.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the files of the 4th respondent pertaining to its proceeding in Aa.the.mu.No.1144/A2/2025 dated 21.05.2025 and to quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to grant special increment to the



W.P.(MD)No.21935 of 2025

petitioner for completing 30 years in service as on 31.05.2024 within a time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.SC.Herold Singh

For Respondents : Mr.S.Vinodh (R1 to R4)

Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the order of the 4th respondent in Aa.the.mu.No.1144/A2/2025 dated 21.05.2025 and consequently to direct the respondent to grant special increment to the petitioner for completing 30 years in service as on 31.05.2024 within a time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

2. Since no adverse order is proposed to be passed in this writ petition, notice to the fifth respondent is dispensed with and this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.




W.P.(MD)No.21935 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. According to the petitioner, he was appointed as BT Assistant in the year 1994 and he attained the age of superannuation on 31.05.2024. He was also granted selection and special grade. A government order was also passed in regard to revision of pay. The petitioner states that another government order was also passed granting notional increments to the government service and hence, the petitioner is also entitled annual increments and revised pension. The petitioner sent a representation seeking for the same. However, the same was rejected by way of the impugned order. Challenging the same, this writ petition came to be filed.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the official respondents would fairly concede that the impugned order does not contain any reasons and hence, this Court may set aside the impugned order and the matter may be remanded back to the fourth respondent.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and also considering the submission made, the impugned order dated 21.05.2025 is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the fourth respondent.



W.P.(MD)No.21935 of 2025


WEB COPY

The fourth respondent shall consider and pass a speaking order on merits and in accordance with law on the proposal made by the fifth respondent dated 20.03.2025, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. In fine, this writ petition is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

12.08.2025

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Sm



W.P.(MD)No.21935 of 2025

To:-
WEB COPY

1. The Secretary to Government,
Rep. by its State of Tamil Nadu,
School Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. The Commissioner of School Education,
College Road, Chennai.
3. The Chief Educational Officer,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.
4. The District Educational Officer,
Marthandam, Kanyakumari District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.21935 of 2025

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

Sm

W.P.(MD) No.21935 of 2025

12.08.2025