



CRL OP(MD). No.13069 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.08.2025

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.13069 of 2025

Pandiyan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Vembakkottai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.213 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Dinesh,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.213 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Sole Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 9(B)(1)(a) of the Indian Explosives Act 1884 in Crime No.213 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police,



CRL OP(MD). No.13069 of 2025

seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.07.2025, on receipt of secret information, the respondent police searched the house of the petitioner and found that the petitioner was illegally manufacturing crackers without license. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is no way connected with the case, a false case has been lodged as against the petitioner and that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide any conditions which may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner is the sole accused and the petitioner had illegally manufactured crackers worth of Rs.4,000/- and that the properties were recovered by the respondent police. He would further submit that the petitioner is having three previous cases. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also considering the fact that the properties have already been recovered and taking note of the fact




CRL OP(MD). No.13069 of 2025

that material part of the investigation might have been completed by this time, this
WEB COPY
Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sathur, Virudhunagar District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sathur, Virudhunagar District and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and contact number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sathur, Virudhunagar District. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sathur, Virudhunagar District;



CRL OP(MD). No.13069 of 2025

WEB COPY

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
07/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

csm

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.13069 of 2025

TO मेव जयते

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Sattur, Virudhunagar District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Vembakkottai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.DINESH, Advocate (SR-8658[I] dated 11/08/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13069 of 2025

Date :07/08/2025

SBN/25.08.2025 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023