



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.17016 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P.(MD).Nos.13826 & 13827 of 2025

Prince Tendulkar

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu through
The Inspector of Police,
Meignanpuram,
Thoothukudi,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.16/2024)

... Respondent No.1/Complainant

2.XXXX

... Respondent No.2/Defacto Complainant

3.XXXX

... Respondent No.3/Victim

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records in Spl.S.C.No.118 of 2024 pending on the file of the Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Thoothukudi, Thoothukudi District and quash the same against the petitioner/accused.

For Petitioner : Mr.KA.Raamakrishnan

For R-1 : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

For R-2 : Mr.K.Kaleeswaran

ORDER

The petitioner seeks to quash the impugned final report in Spl.S.C. No.118 of 2024 on the file of the Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Thoothukudi District for the offences under Section 294(b), 323 of IPC and Section 75 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

2. The allegation in the final report is that the petitioner, while working as a Physical Education Teacher in the school, in which, the victim boys were studying, had beaten the first victim with sticks; that when the victim's brother, the second victim, questioned the same, the petitioner had also beaten the second victim.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations even if accepted to be true would not constitute the aforesaid offences; that the petitioner as a Teacher had only reprimanded the children; that the allegations are false; and that in any case, the parties have now arrived at a compromise inasmuch as the father of the two victims had entered into a compromise; and that the victim boys themselves, who are studying in the same



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

Village, who are aged about 13 and 16 years respectively, do not wish to pursue the complaint.

4. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 13.10.2025. The compromise memo entered into between the petitioner and the father of the victim boys reads as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
(Order section 528 R.S.R.S.)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS AT
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)
CRL.MP.(MD) No. OF 2025
in
Crl.O.P. (MD) No. 17016 OF 2025
Against
SpLS.C.No.118/ 2024
(On the file of the Special Court For Restorative Trial Case Under POCSO
Cases Thoothukudi District)
Prima: Pambaloor (Aged 25 Years)
S/o. Pambaloor,
4th A/111 North Street,
Pudupattanam,
Thoothukudi
Thoothukudi District. Petitioner/State Accused

-Vs-

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
The Inspector of Police,
Malgampattanam,
Thoothukudi
Thoothukudi District
(Case No 10/2024)

2. [Minor] Vengudoor (Aged 13),
S/o. Murugan,
3rd Street, Vellingayagapuram
Thoothukudi District
(Through the Father
& Nearest guardian)
Murugan, (Aged 60 Years)
S/o. Ramasami,
3rd Street, Vellingayagapuram
Thoothukudi District.

3. [Minor] Arumugam (Aged 16)
3rd Street, Vellingayagapuram
Thoothukudi District.
(Through the Father
& Nearest guardian)
Murugan, (Aged 60 Years)
S/o. Ramasami,
3rd Street, Vellingayagapuram
Thoothukudi District. Respondents/Defacto Complainant

Representation by SpLS and SpLS
[Signature]

[Signature]
P. V. Pambaloor



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

WEB COPY

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

This Memorandum of joint compromise entered on 13.09.2025 at Tuticorin, between the Petitioners and the Defecto Complainant on the following terms and conditions.

1. Whereas, a Complainant has filed a complaint against the petitioner before the Respondent 1 and FIR is registered as Crime No. 16/2024 for offence U/s.294(b), 323, of IPC and 75 of Juvenile Justice Act and it was taken on file of the Special Court For Exclusive Trial Case Under POCSO Cases Thoothukudi District in Spl.SC. No. 118 of 2024, Thereafter both the parties hereto have resolved the issues and the Petitioner and respondent also accepted. Hence, the present applications filed before this Hon'ble Court.

2. Whereas on intervention and mediation by their well-wishers, the parties hereto were pacified and whereas both the parties hereto have resolved the issues.

3. Whereas the Defecto Complainant solved the issue and decided not to proceed with the criminal case trial further against the Petitioner.

4. Whereas in the light of the above compromise, the parties hereto shall not interfere with their personal life of each other with any issues or claims hereafter at any point of time between them.

5. The parties hereto have agreed the above terms, adhere to the same in strict sense and put their signatures on the day, month and year as written below.

P. Prince Tanu Lakshmi

Parties
by Advocate
LC. P. S. S. S.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

WEB COPY.

Therefore, Defacto Complainant agreed to **Quash** the proceedings in Spl.S.C.No.118/2025 pending on the file of the Special Court For Exclusive Trial of Cases Under POCSO ACT, Thoothukudi, Thoothukudi District and render justice.

The above said compromise memo is filed by the both parties without influence of anybody and knowing the contents of the terms and conditions in the compromise memo on ~~13.07~~ 2025.

Dated at Madurai on this ^{03.07.2025} day of ~~July~~ 2025

P. Prince Tadeulhan
Petitioner

Represented by *22.07.2025*
(minor)
Respondent/
Defacto-Complainant



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

5. The victim boys and their father, namely, R.Murugan (Aadhaar No.8628 0810 3327) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by S.Gnanasekar, Sub Inspector of Police, Meignanapuram Police Station, Thoothukudi District. When this Court asked the victim boys about the compromise, they stated that they do not wish to pursue the complaint. Their father would also confirm the compromise.

6. In view of the same, considering the nature of the allegations and the relationship between the parties and the dispute being private in nature, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in Spl.S.C.No.118 of 2024 on the file of the Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Thoothukudi District is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

13.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

To

1. The Special Court for Exclusive Trial
of Cases under POCSO Act,
Thoothukudi District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Meignanpuram,
Thoothukudi,
Thoothukudi District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.17016 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).No.17016 of 2025

13.10.2025