



CRL OP(MD).No.12943 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.12943 of 2025

1. Veeramalai,
S/o.Thekkan,

2. Jayaprakash,
S/o.Thekkan

..Petitioners/ Accused
Nos.2 & 3

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kattuputhur Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.394 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Arunraj

Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash

Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.394 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.12943 of 2025

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS r/w.4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.394 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.07.2025, the petitioners were scolded the defacto-complainant by using filthy language and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that due to previous enmity, the defacto-complainant lodged a false complaint against these petitioners. The petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners are ready and willing to abide any conditions which may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the petitioners and the defacto-complainant are belongs to same village , due to previous enmity, these petitioners abused the defacto-complainant by using filthy language and attacked. The defacto-complainant sustained simple injury and admitted in hospital and later she was discharged from hospital on 29.07.2025. A case in counter has also pending before the respondent police. There is no previous



CRL OP(MD).No.12943 of 2025

case against these petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the
WEB COPY
petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also considering the fact that at the injured person discharged from hospital on 29.07.2025, case in counter is also pending before the respondent police, there is no previous case pending against these petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Thottiyam on condition that the petitioner shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Thottiyam and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and contact number



CRL OP(MD).No.12943 of 2025

to the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Thottiyam. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Thottiyam;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
05/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD).No.12943 of 2025

gvn न जयते
TO
WEB COPY

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Thottiyam.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kattuputhur Police Station,
Trichy District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.ARUNRAJ, Advocate (SR-8425[I] dated 05/08/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.12943 of 2025
Date :05/08/2025

HPS/26.08.2025 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023