



W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 10.12.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R.KALAIMATHI**

W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

&

C.M.P.(MD)No.6913 of 2016

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Director of School Education,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The Director of School Education,
College Road,
Chennai-600 006.

3.The District Educational Officer,
Tenkasi-627 811,
Tirunelveli District.

... Appellant / Petitioner

Vs.

1.S.Dharma Raj

.... Respondent / Writ Petitioner

2.The Secretary,
Meenakshi Sundaram Memorial Higher Secondary School,
Idaikal, Tenkasi,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondent / 4th Respondent



W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

PRAYER: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, praying this Court to set aside the order dated 18.12.2015 passed in W.P. (MD)No.22946 of 2015.

For Appellants : Mr.T.Amjadkhan
Government Advocate

For Respondents : No appearance

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was made by **G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.**)

This intra-court appeal has been filed by the State questioning the order dated 18.12.2015 passed by the learned single Judge allowing W.P. (MD)No.22946 of 2015 filed by the first respondent herein.

2. The first respondent herein was working as BT Assistant in the second respondent school. He sought conferment of the third incentive increment for having acquired M.Phil degree. Since the request was rejected, the said writ petition was filed. The learned single Judge allowed the writ petition on the basis that the issue is squarely covered by the earlier order dated 15.07.2015 passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in ***W.A.(MD)Nos.701 & 769 of 2015 etc., batch.***



W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

WEB COPY

3. The learned Government Advocate draws our attention to the Full Bench decision rendered on **29.04.2022 in W.A.No.3674 of 2019 etc., batch.**

4. The Full Bench was called upon to answer the reference on the following question:-

“Whether a teacher is entitled to a third incentive increment on account of acquiring an M.Phil qualification or not?”

The reference was answered in the following terms:-

*“13. In the light of the above, we are of the considered opinion that the view taken by the Division Bench in W.A.No. 1664 of 2016, dated 29.06.2018 (The Director of School Education and others Vs. V.Dhanapal) that a teacher shall be granted only with two incentive increments, equivalent to four advance increments, for the entire period of his/her service, **holds good** and the view taken by the Division Bench in W.A. (MD)Nos.701 & 769 of 2015, etc, dated 15.07.2015 (The Director of School Education and others Vs. S.Amalraj) and the view taken by another Division Bench in W.A.(MD)No.867 of 2014, dated 18.09.2014 (The Director of School Education and*



W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

*another Vs. N.Balasoundari and another) that a teacher is entitled to the third set of incentive increment, **cannot be said to be a good law.** We answer the reference accordingly.”*

5. In this view of the matter, the order of the learned single Judge is clearly un-sustainable. It is set aside. The Writ Appeal is allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(G.R.S., J.) (R.K.M., J.)
10.12.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
rmi



WEB COPY



W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.
AND
R.KALAIMATHI, J.

rmi

W.A(MD) No.1124 of 2016

10.12.2025