



Cont P(MD). No.2636 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

Cont.P (MD). No.2636 of 2025

A.Sahana

... Petitioner

Vs

**1. B.K.Arvind,
Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District, Madurai..**

**2. Anand,
Inspector of Police,
Usilampatty Taluk Police Station,
Madurai District..**

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to initiate the Contempt proceedings and call upon the Contemnors/Respondents for willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in HCP(MD) No.525 of 2025 dated 08.05.2025 and punish them.



WEB COPY



Cont P(MD). No.2636 of 2025

For Appellant : Mr.V.Ramesh

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar for R1 and R2

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.)

The contempt petition arises out of an order passed by a Coordinate Bench on 08.05.2025, wherein on HCP had been filed by a daughter complaining that her mother had gone missing on and from 18.04.2025 and in respect of which, a complaint had been lodged and an FIR had been registered in Crime No.72/2025 under the caption 'woman missing' by the Usilampatti Taluk Police Station/2nd respondent.

2. While disposing of the Habeas Corpus Petition, the Coordinate Bench, by order dated 08.05.2025 had directed that the investigation should be completed and the detenue should be traced as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the above order. It had been further stated that if the detenue is identified, she should be produced before the jurisdictional Magistrate Court and intimation should be given to the petitioner.



Cont P(MD). No.2636 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. A status report had been filed by the 2nd respondent/Inspector of Police, Usilampatti Taluk Police Station, wherein, it had been stated that strenuous efforts had been taken to locate the detainee. It had been further stated that one Thavamani was very familiar with the missing person for the past 10 years and that the missing person Amutha was wearing 5¼ sovereigns of gold thali chain and that there was a possibility of the thali chain being snatched for financial gain. It had been stated that it has been reasonably detected that Thavamani would have abducted her from Sempatti to Tiruppur. The cellphone of the missing person had also been seized. The shop, where the jewelry and the ring were sold in Tiruppur, was also identified. It had been stated that the FIR had been altered from woman missing to offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 140(3), 87, 309(4), 303(6) BNS. Thavamani had also been arrested and his confession had also been recorded on 29.10.2025.

4. Since the investigation has progressed to a substantial extent, we hold that contempt would not lie against the respondents. The contempt petition stands closed. However, we would grant time to the respondents



Cont P(MD). No.2636 of 2025

to file the final report in Crime No.72/2025, before the jurisdictional
Magistrate Court, on or before 28.02.2026.

[C.V.K,J]

[R.V,J]

04.11.2025

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

RR

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District

2.The Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Taluk Police Station,
Madurai District..

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Cont P(MD). No.2636 of 2025

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN J.
AND
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

RR

ORDER
IN
Cont. P (MD) No.2636 of 2025

Date : 04.11.2025