



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 05.08.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025 and
CrI.MP(MD) No.10271 of 2025

Pandi Selvam

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Tamilnadu, Rep.,
By the Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.189 of 2024).

2. Rasu

...Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.189 of 2024 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police and quash the same

For Petitioner
For R1

: Mr.Santhanam Rajesh Kumar B
: Mr.P.Kottaichamy
Government Advocate (CrI.side)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused in Crime No.189 of 2024, on the file of the first respondent police has filed this petition, to quash the above proceedings initiated as against this petitioner.

2.The case in Crime No.189 of 2024 has been registered as against this petitioner, on the complaint of the second respondent that this petitioner, on 30.04.2024 at about 11:30 a.m has entered into the house of the defacto complainant with sword, abused him and also criminally intimidated him. The petitioner has moved this petition to quash the proceedings, on the ground that the defacto complainant is none other than his employer and he was working as a supervisor with the defacto complainant for more than ten years. The defacto complainant is running a modern Rice Mill and also doing Real Estate business. He is a multi millionaire in that village. The defacto complainant has not paid the salary promptly to the petitioner and when the same was questioned by him, a criminal case has been foisted as against the petitioner, as if he has abused and criminally intimidated.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.Mr.P.Kottaichamy, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), who takes notice for the first respondent submits that the petitioner, who was working with the defacto complainant has been removed by him. Therefore, this petitioner went to the house of the defacto complainant with sword, abused him and also threatened him, which was noticed by three witnesses. The learned Government Advocate has pointed out apart from this case, the petitioner has involved in eight other criminal cases and the details have also been placed before this Court.

4.Considering the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Government Advocate, the nature of offence, antecedent of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. **Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.** The investigating Officer is not supposed to conduct the investigation in a mechanical manner based on the statement made by the defacto complainant and the witnesses, if any, produced by the defacto complainant alone. The respondent police shall also conduct the investigation in Crime No.189 of 2024, in a fair manner, by considering



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025

WEB COPY

the case of the petitioner that he is the employee of the defacto complainant and has not been paid with the salary by him. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

05.08.2025

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Internet: Yes

vrn

To

The Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police Station,
Sivagangai District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J

vrn

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13057 of 2025 and
Crl.MP(MD) No.10271 of 2025

05.08.2025