



REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : **27.10.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

C.Annadurai

... Petitioner

Vs

1. P.Durai
2. The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.
3. The District Employment Officer,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.
5. The Thasildar,
Manamadurai Taluk,
Sivagangai District.
6. Baskaran



REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

7. Rajesh Kumar

... Respondents

WEB COPY

Prayer: Application filed under Order 47 Rules 1 r/w Section 114 of CPC to review the order passed in W.A.(MD)No.1252 of 2014 and M.P. (MD)No.2 of 2024, dated 15.12.2014.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.R.Laxman

For Respondents : Mr.A.Suresh Babu for R1

Mr.V.Omprakash,
Govt. Advocate for R2 to R5

Mr.A.K.Manickam for R6 & R7

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by ***R.Vijayakumar, J.***)

The present review application has been filed by the appellant in W.A.(MD)No.1252 of 2014, seeking to review the order dated 15.12.2014.

2. The review applicant herein had applied for the post of Village Assistant and he was issued with an appointment order on



REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

15.09.2012. The said appointment order was challenged by the first respondent herein in W.P.(MD)No.15206 of 2012. This Court, by an order dated 05.08.2014, had allowed the Writ Petition and directed the authorities to provide employment to the writ petitioner therein. Challenging the same, the review applicant had filed Writ Appeal in W.A. (MD)No.1252 of 2014. Even while the Writ Appeal was pending, the authorities have issued a proceeding on 01.10.2014, complying with the order of the writ Court dated 05.08.2014 by appointing the writ petitioner.

3. The present review petition has been filed on 07.12.2015, contending that employment seniority ought to have taken into consideration for granting on order of appointment. The grounds of review proceeded on the basis that the petitioner could also be accommodated, considering the seniority in the registration of employment exchange. This cannot be a legal ground to be considered in the review application. Arguments advanced are nothing but re-arguing the writ appeal. There are no merits in the review application.



REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

4. Accordingly, the review application stands dismissed.

There shall be no order as to costs.

(C.V.K., J.) (R.V., J.)
27.10.2025

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
VSM



WEB COPY



REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

To

1. The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.
2. The District Employment Officer,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.
4. The Thasildar,
Manamadurai Taluk,
Sivagangai District.



REV.APLC(MD). No.29 of 2016

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

vsm

REV.APLC(MD) No.29 of 2016

Date : 27.10.2025