



W.A(MD)No.2127 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 30.07.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**W.A(MD)No.2127 of 2025
and
C.M.P(MD)No.12249 of 2025**

P.Vimala

... Appellant /
Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by
The Chairman,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
3rd Floor,
Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Centenary Building,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

... Respondent /
Respondent

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the judgment dated 03.07.2025 in W.P(MD)No.17886 of 2025 on the file of this Court.

For Appellant : Mr.R.Rajeshkumar

For Respondent : Mr.T.Amjad Khan
Government Advocate



W.A(MD)No.2127 of 2025

JUDGMENT


(By G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.)

WEB COPY

Heard both sides.

2.The appellant is an aspirant for the post of secondary grade teacher. She took part in the recruitment process held last year. The appellant belongs to MBC (DNC) (PMK) category. The cut off mark for this category was fixed at 95, but the appellant scored only 91 marks. The case of the appellant was that the key answers to some of the questions were incorrect. She therefore challenged her non-selection by filing W.P(MD)No.17886 of 2025. The learned single Judge vide order dated 03.07.2025 dismissed the writ petition. The learned single Judge applied the ratio laid down in ***Ran Vijay Singh & Others Vs State of UP & Others (2018 (0) AIR (SC) 52)***. Aggrieved by the same, this Writ Appeal has been filed.

3.Even though we endorse the approach of the learned single Judge, in order to satisfy our conscience, we called upon the learned counsel for the appellant to demonstrate that at least one of the key answers pointed out by him is demonstrably wrong.



W.A(MD)No.2127 of 2025

4.The learned counsel for the appellant drew our attention to the

WEB COPY Question No.44 which reads as follows:

“44. தழைத்து, ஒங்கி, வளர்ந்து, பொழிந்து – இவற்றுள்
வேறுபட்ட சொல்லை கட்டுக.

- (A) தழைத்து
- (B) ஒங்கி
- (C) வளர்ந்து
- (D) பொழிந்து”

The correct answer is option (D). But the appellant had ticked option (B) as a correct answer.

5.We are satisfied that the aforesaid key answer is correct and the appellant has been labouring under a wrong assumption. In matters such as this, the scope for interference by the writ Court is rather limited.

6.This Writ Appeal stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[G.R.S., J.] [K.R.S., J.]
30.07.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
MGA



WEB COPY



W.A(MD)No.2127 of 2025

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J

and

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MGA

To

The Chairman,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
3rd Floor,
Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Centenary Building,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

W.A(MD)No.2127 of 2025

30.07.2025