



CRL OP(MD)No.12819 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/08/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD)No.12819 of 2025

1.Vignesh
S/o.seemairaj.

2.Lr.T.Vijayakanth,
S/o.Thangavel

: Petitioners/ A1 and A2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Devathanapatti Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.246 of 2025)

: Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Moorthy,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.246 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD)No.12819 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2), 49 of BNS and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.246 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant belong to one political party. Due to previous enmity between them, the petitioners along with other accused persons abused him in filthy language and also assaulted him and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide any condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent police submitted that there are totally 12 accused persons involved in this case. The



CRL OP(MD)No.12819 of 2025

WEB COPY

petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. Due to political vengeance, the petitioners along with other accused abused the defacto complainant, attacked him and also caused injuries. He further submitted that injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the facts that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and taking note of the fact that the occurrence had taken place on 08.07.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD)No.12819 of 2025

WEB COPY

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;


(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



CRL OP(MD)No.12819 of 2025

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
04/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

das
TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Devathanapatti Police Station,
Theni District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.S.MOORTHY, Advocate (SR-8361[I] dated 04/08/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.12819 of 2025
Date :04/08/2025

HPS/25.08.2025 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023