



W.P.(MD) No.21658 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

W.P.(MD) No.21658 of 2025

P.Muthuramalingam

Vs.

... Petitioner

1.The District Collector,
Madurai, Madurai District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai,
Madurai District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Madurai West Taluk,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

Prayer :- Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 10.06.2025 within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Palanisamy

For R1 to R3 : Mrs.S.Jeyapriya
Government Advocate



W.P.(MD) No.21658 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner herein seeks a direction to the respondents to consider his representation dated 10.06.2025 within the time stipulated by this Court.

2.Heard the arguments of Mr.T.Palanisamy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mrs.S.Jeyapriya, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents. By consent of both sides, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.According to the petitioner, the property situated in Survey No. 126/1, with an extent of 3 Acre and 13 cents, Kodimangalam Village, Madurai South Taluk, was allotted to the petitioner and two others in a family partition dated 09.09.1961. The patta for the subject stood in the names of the petitioner and two others, namely, Angammal and Chellapa and one third party, prior to the UDR updation in Patta No.649 and during UDR updation, the name of the petitioner and two others, namely, Angammal and Chellapa were deleted. Now patta stands only in the



W.P.(MD) No.21658 of 2025

WEB COPY

name of third party, namely, Prokirater K.F Madurai Branch. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner submitted a representation before the respondent on 10.06.025, seeking restoration of his name in the revenue records. The same has not been considered. Hence, the petitioner has come before this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would submit that the petitioner seeks correction in the UDR records. Therefore, the competent authority to consider such a request is the District Revenue Officer and the petitioner has not submitted any representation before him.

5. In view of the same, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, the third respondent is directed to forward the representation of the petitioner to the competent authority, namely, District Revenue Officer, Madurai, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The said authority shall consider the representation of the petitioner and pass final orders on its own merits, within a further period of twelve weeks, after affording



W.P.(MD) No.21658 of 2025

WEB COPY

reasonable opportunity to the petitioner and the person in whose name the patta stands as on today. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

08.08.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
cp

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Madurai, Madurai District.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Madurai West Taluk,
Madurai District.

Copy To:

The District Revenue Officer,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.21658 of 2025

S.SOUNTHAR,J

cp

W.P.(MD)No.21658 of 2025

Dated: 08.08.2025