



CMP(MD) No.18183 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27.11.2025

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

**C.M.P(MD) No.18183 of 2025
in
Rev.APLC(MD)Sr.No.62794 of 2025**

1.A.Subramanian

(Cause Title is accepted vide court order dated 29.10.2025 made in CMP(MD) No.15846/2025 in Rev.Aplc(MD) SR No.62794/2025 by PVJ and KKRKJ)

2.S.Mupadathy

3. P.Pitchaikani

4. S.Irulachi

5. R.Esakkidurai

S.Kannan(died)

6. S.Premma Nayakam

7. M.Pandarasamy

8. N..Sivasubbiramaniyan

9. M.Murugan

10. R.Ramachandran

11. Murugeswari

...Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Director

Sericulture Department

Asthampatti, Salem 636007

2.The Assistant Director

Sericulture Department

Tenkasi

Tenkasi District.

...Respondents



CMP(MD) No.18183 of 2025

PRAYER in CMP(MD) No.18183 of 2025 :- Petition filed under Section 5 of the limitation act to condone the delay of 268 days in preferring the review application against the order passed in W.A(MD) No.1490 of 2024 dated 30.09.2024.

Prayer in Rev.APLC(MD)Sr.No.62794 of 2025: Petition filed under Section 114 of CPC r/w. Order 47 Rule 1 of the CPC to review the judgment passed by this Court in WA(MD) No.1490 of 2024 dated 30.09.2024.

For Appellant : Mr.G.Thalaimuthurasu

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **P.VELMURUGAN. J.,**)

This petition has been filed to condone the delay of 268 days in preferring the review application against the order passed in W.A(MD) No.1490 of 2024 dated 30.09.2024.

2. The reasons assigned by the review applicants for condoning the delay at para no.9 is as follows:

“I humbly submit that Mr.S.Kannan who was the 6th petitioner in the writ petitioner was leading us in this issue who unfortunately passed away on 02.09.2023 and therefore we couldn't approach our counsel in time and that apart there was difference of opinion amount us whether to proceed legally



CMP(MD) No.18183 of 2025

WEB COPY

or approach the Government to ventilate our grievance, therefore the delay of 268 days has crept in filing the review application which is neither wilful nor wanton but due to out financial difficulty as well as work schedules”.

3. The reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit is not satisfactory. The review applicants have to assign reason for each and every day delay. In view of the same, the Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands dismissed. Consequently the Rev.APLC(MD)Sr.No.62794 of 2025 stands rejected at the SR stage itself.

[P.V.J.] [K.K.R.K.J.]
27.11.2025

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
aav



WEB COPY



CMP(MD) No.18183 of 2025

**P.VELMURUGAN, J
and
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.**

aav

**C.M.P(MD) No.18183 of 2025
in
Rev.APLC(MD)Sr.No.62794 of 2025**

27.11.2025