

CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/07/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

1. Rengammal
2. S.Kanagu @ Kanagavalli ... Petitioners/ Accused No.7 & 10

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Eriyodu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.85 of 2025) ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Vilma Raxy
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.85 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) of BNS and Section 4 TNPHW Act, in Crime No.85 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a civil dispute pending between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, there was a wordy quarrel between them, both of them attacked each other and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners have given a complaint before the respondent police against the defacto complainant and the same was registered in Crime No.86 of 2025. He further submitted that the defacto complainant and other accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by the learned District Sessions Court, Dindigul in CrI.M.P.No.753 of 2025 dated 07.04.2025 in Crime No.86 of 2025 and this Court had granted anticipatory bail to othere




CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

accused in this case in CrI.OP(MD).No.6161 of 2025 dated 17.04.2025. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the investigation is almost completed. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the investigation has been almost completed and co-accused had already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of



CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial
WEB COPY


Magistrate, Vendasandur, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur, and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

WEB COPY

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
30/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

MSRM

TO

1.The District Munsif
cum Judicial Magistrate,
Vedasandur.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.12662 of 2025

2.Do Through
The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Dindigul District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Eriyodu Police Station,
Dindigul District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.V.VILMA REXY, Advocate (SR-8243[I] dated 31/07/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.12662 of 2025

Date :30/07/2025

NM/20.08.2025/ 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023