



CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

Thaiyalnayagi,
W/o.Kannan,

..Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Tiruchy.
(Crime No.09 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Azhageson
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.09 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : This Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.07.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 in Crime No.09 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner demanded bribe amount of Rs.15,000/- to the defacto-complainant for processing and recommending the Sub-Division Patta for the husband of the Defacto-complainant and at the request of the defacto-complainant, the bribe amount was reduced as Rs.13,000/-. As per the complaint given by the defacto-complainant, the Vigilance officials had conducted a trap proceedings on 11.07.2025, this petitioner was caught red-handed by the Vigilance officials. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that this petitioner was falsely implicated in the said case, this petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 11.07.2025, nearly 18 days. Hence, he seeks bail.



CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that this petitioner working as a Firka Surveyor in the Revenue Department. This petitioner demanded Rs.13,000/- as bribe from the Defacto-complainant for processing and recommending the Sub-Division Patta for the husband of the Defacto-complainant. The Defacto-complainant lodged a complaint before the Vigilance and Anti-Corruption Unit, Trichy, based on her complaint, a trap proceedings was conducted on 11.07.2025, the petitioner received the bribe amount of Rs.13,000/-. she caught red handed by the respondent police and she arrested and remanded on 11.07.2025. The tainted currency notes were recovered from the petitioner. It is the clear case of demand and acceptance of bribe. There is no previous case against the petitioner. However, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that in this case, the entire property were recovered from the petitioner, there is no previous case against this petitioner, the petitioner/accused remanded into judicial custody on 11.07.2025, taking into consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to



CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

the following conditions:

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Trichirappalli and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.


[b] The petitioner shall furnish her residential address and contact number to the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Trichirappalli. If the petitioner changes her residential address, she shall report the same to the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Trichirappalli;

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial



CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with
WEB COPY
law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the
learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 BNS.


sd/-
28/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

28/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

gvn
TO

1. The Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Thiruchirappalli.
2. The Superintendent,
Special Prison for Women,
Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption Wing,
Trichy.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



CRL OP(MD).No.12624 of 2025

+1 CC to M/s.A.AZHAGESON, Advocate (SR-8066[I] dated 28/07/2025)

WEB COPY

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.12624 of 2025

Date :28/07/2025

HPS/28.07.2025 /6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023