



WA(MD)No.1954 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.01.2025

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
and
THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE**

**WA(MD)No.1954 of 2024
and
CMP(MD)No.14441 of 2024**

M.RAMASAMY GOUNDER ... Appellant / Respondent No.4

vs.

1 A.THIRUPPATHI ... 1st Respondent / Petitioner

2 THE COMMISSIONER
HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE
ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,
CHENNAI -34.

3 THE JOINT COMMISSIONER
HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE
ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI.

4 THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
ARULMIGHU PONNAR SANGAR TEMPLE,
VALANADU VILLAGE,
MARUNGAPURI TALUK,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI DISTRICT. ... Respondents 2 to 4 / Respondents 1 to 3

5 ESWARAMOORTHY GOUNDER ... 5th Respondent / 5th Respondent

6 MAYILSAMY GOUNDER ... 6th Respondent / 6th Respondent



WA(MD)No.1954 of 2024

Prayer : Appeal filed under Clause XV of the Letters Patent, against the order dated 26.03.2024 passed in WP(MD) No.6763 of 2024.

For Appellant : Mr.J.Jeyakumaran
For R1 : Mr.M.Suresh
For R2 & R3 : Mr.J.Ashok
Additional Government Pleader
For R5 : Mr.V.T.Balaji

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was made by **M.S.RAMESH, J.**)

The present Writ Appeal is directed against the order passed by the Writ Court dated 26.03.2024 passed in WP(MD) No.6763 of 2024.

2. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, as well as the respondents 4 to 6 that notice was neither served on them in the writ petition, nor had they entered appearance.

3. However, we find in paragraph 5 of the order that the Writ Court had recorded that the respondents are intending to spend around Rs.10,00,000/- for Thiruppani works.



WA(MD)No.1954 of 2024

4. From the records, we do not find any such offer from the respondents 4 to 6. As such, it would be appropriate that the parties get clarification from the Writ Court with regard to this observation.

5. In the light of the above, the Writ Appeal stands closed with liberty to the appellant, as well as the respondents 4 to 6, to file a review petition before the learned single Judge, at least within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Till the filing of the review petition, the parties shall maintain *status quo* with regard to the renovation works. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(M.S.R, J.) (A.D.M.C, J.)
23.01.2025

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
bala

To

1 THE COMMISSIONER
HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE
ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,
CHENNAI -34.

2 THE JOINT COMMISSIONER
HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE
ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI.



WEB COPY



WA(MD)No.1954 of 2024

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
A.D.MARIA CLETE, J.

bala

3 THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
ARULMIGHU PONNAR SANGAR TEMPLE,
VALANADU VILLAGE,
MARUNGAPURI TALUK,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI DISTRICT.

JUDGMENT MADE IN
WA(MD)No.1954 of 2024
DATED : 23.01.2025