



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.12.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA**

HABEAS CORPUS PETITION(MD)No.889 of 2025

Esthar

... Petitioner

vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu Rep by its
The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tenkasi District
Tenkasi

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
District Jail,
Nagercoil

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order of the respondent No.2 in Detention Order No.M.H.S.Confdl.No. 34 of 2025 dated 06.05.2025 and quash the same and to direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detinue by name Arulraj Jeyakumaran @Kozhiarul, son of Devaraj,aged



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

about 52 years now confined as 'Drug Offender" at District Jail, Nagercoil before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan
For Respondents: Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.**]

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Arulraj Jeyakumaran @Kozhiarul, son of Devaraj, aged about 52 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in M.H.S.Confdl.No. 34 of 2025 dated 06.05.2025, holding him to be a "Drug Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(e) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the detenu was served with illegible copies in respect of page Nos. 5,13,15,17,19,43,45 of the booklet. Therefore, the detenu was not able to submit effective representation to reconsider the order of detention. In support of his contention, the learned counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Pramod Singla vs. Union of India* reported in 2023 *Live Law* (SC) 293.

4. The ground raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the page Nos. 5,13,15,17,19,43,45 of the booklet served to the detenu is illegible and not readable. Therefore, the detenu was not able to make effective representation to re-consider the order of detention. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Pramod Singla's case (supra)* held that in cases where illegible documents have been supplied to the detenu, a grave prejudice is caused to the detenu in availing his right to send a representation to the relevant authorities, because the detenu, while submitting his representation, does not have clarity on the grounds of his or her detention. In such a circumstance, the relief under Article 22(5) of the Constitution of India and the relevant statutory provisions



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

allowing for submitting a representation are vitiated, since no man can defend himself against an unknown threat.

5. The above judgment is squarely applicable to the case on hand. Since the detenu in this case was not served with legible copy of page Nos. 5,13,15,17,19,43,45 of the booklet, his right to make effective representation to reconsider the order of detention passed against him, is deprived. On this sole ground, the order of detention is liable to be quashed.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in M.H.S.Confdl.No. 34 of 2025 dated 06.05.2025, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Arulraj Jeyakumaran @Kozhiarul, son of Devaraj, aged about 52 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

[G.K.I., J.] [R.P., J.]
17.12.2025

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
aav



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

To:

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tenkasi District
Tenkasi

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
District Jail,
Nagercoil

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.
AND
R.POORNIMA, J.

aav

HCP(MD)No.889 of 2025

DATED : 17.12.2025