



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12618 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 06.08.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.OP(MD)No.12618 of 2025

Marimuthu

... Petitioner/3rd Accused

Vs

1.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Cantonment, Trichy District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Contonment Police Station, Trichy
District (In Cr.No.373 of 2025).

...Respondent Nos.1 and 2/
complainants

3. Andiappan

...Respondent No.3/Defacto
complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to direct the I Additional District Sessions Judge (Special Court for PCR), Trichy to consider the bail application of the petitioner on the same day of his surrender before that Court in connection with Cr.No.373 of 2025 on the file of the respondents.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12618 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Anand Kumar.N


For R1 & R2 : Mr.P.Kottaichamy
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R3 : Mr.S.Jeyavel

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused person in Crime No.373 of 2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent Police. The said case was registered for the offences under Sections 296(b), 318(4), 319(2), 351(1) of BNS Act, 2023 and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST (POA) Amendment Act, 2015. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this petition seeking a direction to the I Additional District Sessions Judge (Special Court for PCR), Trichy, to consider his bail application on the same day of his surrender.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that there is a property situated at Mekudi Village, belonging to the family of the defacto complainant's wife. Her brother and sisters intended to execute a release deed in her favour, for which the defacto complainant approached the first accused, a practicing Advocate. The first accused received all the relevant documents, and on 08.04.2025, the said release deed was registered. Thereafter, when the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12618 of 2025

WEB COPY

defacto complainant demanded return of the documents, the first accused directed him to collect the same from the third accused. When the defacto complainant's wife approached the third accused, he demanded money for handing over the documents. Upon her refusal, the accused persons allegedly abused her in filthy language and threatened her with dire consequences.

3. Considering the conduct of the petitioner towards the defacto complainant's wife, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition. The 2nd respondent is directed to secure and hand over all the documents of the defacto complainant's wife from the possession of the petitioner and other accused persons, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. With the above direction, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

06.08.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes
Indu



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12618 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Cantonment, Trichy District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Contonment Police Station,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12618 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

Indu

CrI.OP(MD) No.12618 of 2025

06.08.2025