

WMP(MD)No.17241 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 03.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE **J.NISHA BANU**
and
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE **R.VIJAYAKUMAR**

W.M.P.(MD)No.17241 of 2024
in
REV.APLW(MD)SRNo.60882 of 2024
and
REV.APLW(MD)SRNo.60882 of 2024

D.Shanthy Rajan ... Petitioner in both cases
-vs-

1.The Commissioner of Hindu Religious
and Charitable Endowments Department,
Uthamar Gandhi Road,
Chennai -34.

2.The Joint Commissioner/Executive Officer,
Arulmigu Aranganatha Swamy Temple,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Srirangam, Trichy - 620 006.

3.The Commissioner,
Trichirappalli Municipal Corporation,
Trichirapalli.

4.K.J.Bharathi ... Respondents in both cases

Prayer : This Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Rule IV Rule 9(4) of
AS Rules r/w 151 of CPC to condone the delay of 143 days in filing the



WMP(MD)No.17241 of 2024

above Review Application.


Prayer: This Review Application is filed under Section 114 and Order 47 Rule 1 and 2 CPC to review the order passed by this Court in W.P. (MD)No.19511 of 2013, dated 28.02.2024.

For Petitioner :Mr.M.Saravanakumar
For R1 and R2 :Mr.J.Ashok
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

J. NISHA BANU, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

The review applicant/writ petitioner has filed the writ petition in W.P(MD)No.19511 of 2013, challenging the lock and seal order passed by the Trichirappalli Municipal Corporation. The Division Bench by order dated 28.02.2024, finding that the challenge made to the very same order by the owner of the subject premises in W.P(MD)No. 15546 of 2013 was dismissed and the writ petitioner is only a tenant, dismissed the writ petition filed by the tenant/review applicant. Seeking to review of the said order, the writ petitioner/tenant has filed this review application with the delay of 143 days in filing.



WMP(MD)No.17241 of 2024

2. In support of the delay, the review applicant has stated that she is aged about 60 years and due to old age disease, she could not contact her advocate and hence, the above delay has occurred.

3. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would state that in the light of the dismissal of the earlier writ petition filed by the owner of the property, the Division Bench has rightly dismissed the writ petition filed by the tenant and therefore, there is no error apparent to review the said order. Hence, he prays for dismissal of the review application.

4. Heard both sides.

5. Since the writ petition filed by the owner of the property against the very same lock and seal order, was dismissed, the Division Bench has rightly declined to entertain the writ petition of the tenant/review applicant. Thus, we do not find any scope for review.

6. Accordingly, WMP(MD)No.17241 of 2024 is dismissed.



WMP(MD)No.17241 of 2024

Consequently, REV.APLW(MD)SR.No.60882 of 2024 is rejected.

WEB COPY

(J.N.B., J.) (R.V., J.)
03.04.2025

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
cmr
To

1.The Commissioner of Hindu Religious
and Charitable Endowments Department,
Uthamar Gandhi Road, Chennai -34.

2.The Joint Commissioner/Executive Officer,
Arulmigu Aranganatha Swamy Temple,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Srirangam, Trichy - 620 006.

3.The Commissioner,
Trichirappalli Municipal Corporation,
Trichirappalli.

J. NISHA BANU, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

cmr



WEB COPY



WMP(MD)No.17241 of 2024

W.M.P.(MD)No.17241 of 2024

03.04.2025