



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

RESERVED ON : 29.08.2025

PRONOUNCED ON : 11.11.2025

CORAM :

THE HON`BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.OP(MD)No.12922 of 2025

Macharaja

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Soorangudi Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

2.Thangapandi

...Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records in Crime No.156 of 2020 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner

: Mr.N.Suriyamuthu

For R1

: Mr.T.Senthil Kumar

Additional Public Prosecutor

Mr.P.Kottaichamy

Government Advocate (CrI.side)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, one of the accused in Crime No.156 of 2020 on the file of the first respondent Police, has filed this Criminal Original Petition seeking to quash the First Information Report registered against him.

2.When the matter came up for hearing on 01.08.2025, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the investigation in Crime No.156 of 2020 had already been completed and that the final report had been filed through e-filing before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, on 27.11.2024. However, it was yet to be taken on file.

3.Since it was represented that the final report had already been filed, this Court was not inclined to entertain the petition for quashing the FIR without ascertaining the materials relied upon by the Investigating Agency in the final report. It is for the petitioner to challenge the final report, if so advised.

4.At the same time, it was noticed that though the final report had been filed in November 2024, it had not been taken on file for over eight



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

months. Therefore, by order dated 01.08.2025, this Court called for a report from the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, explaining the delay.

5.Pursuant to the said direction, the learned Judicial Magistrate has submitted a report stating that the final report was filed through e-filing on 27.11.2024. However, Athachi and Form 91 were not uploaded, as a result of which the final report was returned on 06.12.2024. Thereafter, the Investigating Officer re-submitted the final report physically on 15.04.2025. Even in this resubmission, the Athachi was not enclosed, which was communicated to the Investigating Officer vide letter dated 05.06.2025. After rectifying the defects, the Investigating Officer re-submitted the final report on 30.07.2025 and it was finally taken on file on 01.08.2025 in C.C.No.107 of 2025.

6.On perusal of the report, it appears that the final report was returned on account of non-filing of Athachi and Form 91, instead of being retained on file and the defect communicated separately. Rule 25(6) of the Criminal Rules of Practice specifically prohibits the return of final reports and directs that a memorandum should be issued to the Investigating Officer to rectify the defect, with escalation to superior police officers if not



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

complied within three months. The procedure adopted in the present case is therefore contrary to the said Rule.

7.The Madras High Court, by Notification in ROC.No. 75085A/2023/Comp3 dated 24.08.2023, has made e-filing of charge sheets mandatory throughout Tamil Nadu and Puducherry. The Standard Operating Procedure (SoP) prescribes that defects should be communicated electronically and that rectification must also be carried out through the e-filing portal. Acceptance of physical resubmission, as in the present case, is inconsistent with the said procedure and defeats the very object of digitisation.

8.This Court has, therefore, sought for the particulars with regard to the e-filed final reports and its status, for the Districts coming under the jurisdiction of this Bench. The particulars produced before this Court is alarming with several mismatch, not taken on file, etc., During the hearing, certain practical difficulties in the e-filing process were also brought to the notice of this Court, particularly relating to defect rectification and re-uploading.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

9.The filing of error-free final reports is a mandatory responsibility of Investigating Officers. Several circulars issued by the Police Department emphasize the necessity of procedural compliance before filing the final report. There is no second thought that these instructions must be followed strictly to avoid unnecessary technical delays.

10.In order to address the pendency of final reports that remained untaken on file due to curable defects, this Court initiated a coordinated one-time exercise as an interim measure, for the Districts coming under the jurisdiction of this Bench. A joint team of police officials and the Registry staff of Magistrate Courts held meetings at the district level, identified such pending final reports, and carried out defect rectifications.

11.This exercise was conducted over one month, during which weekly review meetings were convened by this Court through video conferencing with the participation of Chief Judicial Magistrates, Commissioners and Superintendents of Police, of the Districts coming under the jurisdiction of this Bench and representatives from the Offices of the Inspectors General of Police, South and Central Zones.



WEB COPY

12. During this drive, a System Analyst from the High Court was deputed to each District coming under the jurisdiction of this Bench to coordinate between the Police and the District Courts, to train personnel, resolve technical issues, and ensure that all stakeholders understood the e-filing process uniformly. This field-level support substantially reduced confusion and facilitated defect rectification.

13. During the course of the drive, the following issues along with its solutions were identified on the side of police as well as District Judiciary.

A) Common Issues on the Police Side:-

- (i) Lack of internet connectivity in police stations;
- (ii) Non-utilisation of scanners and improper file compression;
- (iii) Duplicate filings instead of defect correction;
- (iv) Data entry errors and missing signatures;
- (v) Non-submission of sufficient document copies.

The following corrective measures were proposed:-

- (i) Ensure proper internet facilities in all police stations;
- (ii) IO/SHO must verify and attest the report before e-filing;



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

WEB COPY

- (iii) Remove duplicate e-filings and correct returned cases;
- (iv) Record e-filing / CNR numbers in the CCTNS and registers;
- (v) Utilize OCR-format scanning and avoid file compression beyond limits;
- (vi) Submit sufficient copies post-numbering;
- (vii) Correct court objections promptly, failing which disciplinary action may follow;
- (viii) Update CCTNS to prevent duplication.

B) Common Issues on the Judicial Side:-

- (i) Non-issuance of filing numbers;
- (ii) Unnecessary returns of charge sheets;
- (iii) Over-reliance on physical copies for scrutiny;
- (iv) Lack of proper scrutiny and unattended re-submitted e-files.

The following remedial steps were formulated:-

- (i) All e-filed cases must be assigned filing/CNR numbers;
- (ii) Physical documents shall not be insisted upon at filing stage;
- (iii) Explore centralised scrutiny teams in CJM establishments;
- (iv) Delete duplicate filings;



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

WEB COPY

(v) Raise objections only after assigning filing number;

(vi) Ensure proper FIR register maintenance;

(vii) Timely collection of case property;

(viii) Monthly reports on pending objections (over 3 months) to be sent to respective SPs/Commissioners.

14.The district-wise progress during this drive has shown measurable improvement. Prior to the exercise, for the Districts coming under the jurisdiction of this Bench, the total number of final reports filed through e-filing was 4,27,204, which has risen to 4,62,680 as on 07.10.2025, reflecting active follow-up and rectification of procedural lapses. A comparative position of e-filed charge sheets as on 06.08.2025 and 07.10.2025, is as under:-

District	Number of cases renumbered by identifying defects during course of the exercise	Number of cases closed / identified as duplicate during the exercise after issuing CNR number	Number of final reports for which no filing number has been generated		Total Number of e-filed final reports pending for Scrutiny	Number of e-filed final reports rejected without providing filing number in CIS software	
Kanyakumari	4638	2004	Before exercise	273	983	Before 06.08.2025	1234
			After exercise	54	32	After 06.08.2025	0
Tirunelveli	3869	2781	Before exercise	458	1002	Before 06.08.2025	8542
			After exercise	144	1265	After 06.08.2025	109



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

Thoothukudi	6574	1254	Before exercise	365	2978	Before 06.08.2025	6225
			After exercise	61	349	After 06.08.2025	155
Tenkasi	3154	1173	Before exercise	4	75	Before 06.08.2025	3715
			After exercise	0	0	After 06.08.2025	21
Virudunagar	6101	485	Before exercise	3753	7046	Before 06.08.2025	5832
			After exercise	283	500	After 06.08.2025	436
Madurai	7446	594	Before exercise	381	1115	Before 06.08.2025	3272
			After exercise	82	627	After 06.08.2025	48
Ramanatha-puram	4153	1175	Before exercise	204	1477	Before 06.08.2025	1181
			After exercise	119	172	After 06.08.2025	21
Sivagangai	3796	628	Before exercise	462	1742	Before 06.08.2025	1304
			After exercise	83	58	After 06.08.2025	71
Theni	4694	283	Before exercise	449	2388	Before 06.08.2025	1938
			After exercise	162	109	After 06.08.2025	56
Dindugul	2780	24	Before exercise	750	1259	Before 06.08.2025	4401
			After exercise	66	161	After 06.08.2025	43
Karur	2115	1775	Before exercise	184	54	Before 06.08.2025	1142
			After exercise	41	58	After 06.08.2025	0
Trichy	7559	305	Before exercise	432	812	Before 06.08.2025	3469
			After exercise	100	211	After 06.08.2025	42
Pudukottai	1727	3591	Before exercise	186	837	Before 06.08.2025	3107
			After exercise	48	109	After 06.08.2025	0



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

Thanjavur	7178	8989	Before exercise	516	18074	Before 06.08.2025	5019
			After exercise	117	280	After 06.08.2025	165
Total	68564	23828	Before exercise	8417	39842	Before 06.08.2025	54782
			After exercise	1360	3931	After 06.08.2025	1210

15.This Court records its appreciation of the coordinated efforts of the judiciary and police officials in streamlining the e-filing of final reports. The exercise demonstrates the positive outcomes achievable through sustained monitoring and inter-departmental cooperation.

16.The solutions and best practices evolved during this exercise shall be implemented in letter and spirit across all districts. Monthly review meetings shall be convened by the concerned Heads of Departments and Judicial Officers to ensure continuous compliance. Reports of such reviews shall be forwarded to the Registrar (Judicial) of this Bench for monitoring.

17.This Court also suggests that the District Registry staff who are handling e-filing of charge sheets shall be imparted with structured training under the supervision of the High Court, so that uniform procedures and digital handling practices are followed across all districts.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

WEB COPY With the above observations and directions, this Criminal Original
Petition stands disposed of.

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
gk

11.11.2025

Note:

Registry is to communicate this order to

1. The Principal District Judges and Chief Judicial Magistrates of all Districts coming within the jurisdiction of this Bench;
2. The Commissioners of Police / Superintendents of Police of all Cities / Districts coming within the jurisdiction of this Bench;
3. The Inspector Generals of Police, South and Central Zones, Tamil Nadu;
4. The Registrar General, Madras High Court;
5. The Director General of Police, Chennai.

To

The Inspector of Police,
Soorangudi Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12922 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J.,

gk

Crl.OP(MD)No.12922 of 2025

11.11.2025