



CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025

1.Arun Karthik,
S/o.Senthil Kumar

2.Kannika Parameswari,
W/o.Hariharan

3.Senthil Kumar,
S/o.Sivaraja Chettaiar

4.Kavitha @ Alageswari,
W/o.Senthilkumar

... Petitioners/ A1 to A4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
Thandikudi Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.90 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.A.Arul Jenifer,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS
WEB COPY

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.90 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.90 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.05.2025, the petitioners went to the de-facto complainant's house, and the 2nd petitioner demanded a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- from the de-facto complainant to meet the marriage expenses of the 4th petitioner. When the de-facto complainant refused, a wordy quarrel ensued, during which the petitioners assaulted the de-facto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the present case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that this is a case, case in counter. A case has also been registered against the de-facto



CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025

complainant in Crime No.88 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police. He submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons, and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that this is a case, case in counter, and the petitioners have been arrayed as A1 to A4. There are no previous cases registered against the petitioners. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that this is a case, case in counter, and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, and that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of



CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025


WEB COPY

arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kodaikanal on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kodaikanal failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kodaikanal. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kodaikanal;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police weekly twice i.e. on every Monday and Thursday at 10.00 a.m. until further orders;



CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
21/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.12089 of 2025

MKN
WEB COPY

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
KODAIKANAL.

2 DO THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THANDIKUDI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.12089 of 2025
Date :21/07/2025

NM/07.08.2025/ 6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023