



CRL OP(MD). No.12031 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 21/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.12031 of 2025

1.Rengasamy,  
S/o.Kuppilinayakkar.

2.Radhakrishnan,  
S/o.Chinnasamy.

: Petitioners/ A1 & A2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Vaiyampatti Police Station,  
Trichy District.  
(Crime No.305 of 2025)

: Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.S.Sundarapandian,  
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,  
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.305 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.12031 of 2025


ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/A1 & A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351 (2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.305 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is financier. On 09.07.2025, the defacto complainant took the petitioners' ancestral property for non payment of due amount and thereafter, sold the same without the knowledge of the petitioners. When the same was questioned by the petitioners, there arose a wordy quarrel between them and the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioners are not having any previous case and they are ready and willing to abide any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court.




CRL OP(MD). No.12031 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the defacto complainant is a financier and he took the petitioners' ancestral property for non payment of due amount. Thereafter, the defacto complainant sold the property without the knowledge of the petitioners. Hence, there was a wordy altercation between them. He would further submit that the investigation is in progress. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking into account of the fact that no one was sustained injury in this case and also the fact that the petitioners are not having any previous case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, and on further



CRL OP(MD). No.12031 of 2025

conditions that:

WEB COPY

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under



CRL OP(MD). No.12031 of 2025

Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

WEB COPY

sd/-  
21/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/2025  
Sub-Assistant Registrar ( C.S. I / II / III / IV )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

das

TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Vaiyampatti Police Station,  
Trichy District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.12031 of 2025  
Date :21/07/2025

HPS/21.08.2025 5P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023