



CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

Singapan,
S/o.Paramasivan

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli.
(Crime No.109 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Jegadeesha Pandian,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.109 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 296(b), 303(2), 329(4) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.109 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant rented a shop located in the petitioner's brother's property. Due to previous enmity between the petitioner's brother and the de-facto complainant, the petitioner, along with other accused, went to the de-facto complainant's shop, abused him using filthy language demanding him to vacate the premises, caused damage to the shop, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A1 and A3 were arrested and subsequently released on bail. He, however, submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the issue pertains to a landlord-tenant dispute. The petitioner has been arrayed as A2 in this case. A1 and A3 were arrested and subsequently released on bail. There are no previous cases registered against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that the issue pertains to a landlord-tenant dispute, and that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner, and that as the date of occurrence is 13.05.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction



CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

WEB COPY

of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

WEB COPY

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
23/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

mkn

TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Tirunelveli.



CRL OP(MD). No.11999 of 2025

2.Do through the chief judicial
Magistrate, Tirunelveli.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station, Tirunelveli.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11999 of 2025
Date :23/07/2025

PR/12.08.2025 6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023