



W.P(MD)No.19054 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 14.07.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P(MD)No.19054 of 2025
and
WMP(MD)No.14601 of 2025

Perumal

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.


2.The Tahsildar
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

3. Lavakumar

4. Jayakumar

...Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 1 to 4 not to interfere in the peaceful possession of the petitioner's property in Survey No. 304, Seelapadi village, Dindigul Taluk and Dindigul District, to an extent of 4 Acres 45 Cents of Punja lands, till the disposal of O.S.No. 73 of 2018 has been institute by petitioner before the Principal District Munsif, Dindigul and based on the petitioner's representation dated 30.06.2025 within the time stipulated by this Court.



W.P(MD)No.19054 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Petitioner

:Mr.G.Radhakrishnan

For R1 and R2

: Mr.M.Lingadurai

Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to forbear the respondents 1 to 4 not to interfere in the peaceful possession of the petitioner's property till disposal of the suit in O.S.No. 73 of 2018 based on the petitioner's representation dated 30.06.2025 within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. Admittedly, the suit in O.S.No.73 of 2018 is pending before the Principal District Munsif Court, Dindigul.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the suit was decreed in his favor, against which the 3rd respondent preferred the first appeal, and the same was allowed in their favor. Against that judgment, the petitioner has preferred a second appeal, which is pending before this Court. In such circumstances, respondents 3 and 4 are attempting to interfere with the peaceful possession of the property and are trying to change the patta.



W.P(MD)No.19054 of 2025

4. Considering the facts of the case, since the appropriate remedy is lying before the civil court for obtaining injunction this Court is not inclined to allow this writ petition.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a petition in the pending second appeal. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

14.07.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes

Ksa

To:

- 1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Tahsildar
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.19054 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

Ksa

**ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.19054 of 2025**

DATED : 14.07.2025