WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: **01-08-2025**
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CONT P(MD) NO. 1978 of 2025 in
W.P.(MD)No.11239 of 2024

Muniappan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Shri.Pankaj Jain
   (Secretary to Government),
   The Union of India,
   Rep. By its Secretary to Government,
   Ministray of Petroleum and Natural Gas,
   Shastri Bhavan, Dr.Rajendraprasad Road,
   New Delhi – 110 001.
2. Shri.A.S.Sahney,(Chairman),
   Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.,
   (A Public Sector Company),
   Rep. By its Chairman, Indian Oil Bhavan,
   Having its Corporate Office at,
   3079/3, Sadiq Nagar, Jb Tito Marg,
   New Delhi – 110 049.
3. Shri.Sathishkumar(Director),
   Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.,
   (A Public Sector Company),
   Rep. by its Director,Indian Oil Bhavan,
   Having its registered office at
   G-9, Ali Yavar Jun, Bandra(East),

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Mumbai-400 051.

4. Mr.Suthakar,
Executive Director,
Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.,
(A Public Sector Company),
Rep. By its Executive Director
(Marketing),
Indian Oil Bhavan,
Having its Regional Office at No.139,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai – 600 034.

5. Mr.Arunkumar Jeyapaul,
Chief Divisional Manager,
Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.,
(A Public Sector Company),
Rep. By its Chief Divisional Manager,
Indian Oil Bhavan,
Having its Divisional Office at
No.8/1079, Avinashi Road,
Coimbatore – 641 018.

Respondent(s)

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the Contemnors / respondents for their willful disobedience and non-compliance of the order passed by this Court in WP(MD)No.11239/2024 dated 24.07.2024.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.S.Veeranasamy

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. I had granted an interim order holding the rights of the selected person who will abide by the outcome of the writ petition. The authorities only appear to have called upon the petitioner to take back the security deposit. The petitioner can very well collect the security deposit. I make it clear that this will not in any way affect the rights of the petitioner from pursuing his remedy in the writ proceedings.

3. With the aforesaid observation, this contempt petition is closed.

01-08-2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
PMU

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

G.R.SWAMINATHAN,J.

PMU

CONT P(MD) NO.1978 of 2025 in
W.P.(MD)No.11239 of 2024

01.08.2025

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis