



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 29.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN

Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025

and

C.M.P.(MD)No.11217 of 2025

M.Pavithra

.. Petitioner

Vs.

V.Sivaneshwaran

..

Respondent


Prayer : Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to withdraw and transfer the case in H.M.O.P.No.421 of 2025 from the file of the learned Subordinate Court, Paramathi, Namakkal District to learned Family Court, Sivagangai for proper and speedy adjudication of the above petition.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Vishnu

For Respondent : No Appearance
Court Notice served

ORDER

The present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed to withdraw and transfer the case in H.M.O.P.No.421 of 2025 from



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025

WEB COPY


the file of the learned Subordinate Court, Paramathi, Namakkal District to learned Family Court, Sivagangai.

2. The petitioner is the wife and the respondent is the husband.

The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnized on 10.10.2018 as per the Hindu Rites and customs at Shri Manicka Vinayagar Temple, Paramathi.

3. Due to some matrimonial discord, the parties have separated. Therefore, the respondent/husband filed H.M.O.P.No.421 of 2025, on the file of the Subordinate Court, Paramathi, Namakkal District, seeking restitution of conjugal rights.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/wife submits that his client is living in Mahibalanpatti, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District and she was taken care of by her parents. The distance between the petitioner's residence to Paramathi, is around 200 Kms, and being a lady, she finds it difficult to travel such a long distance for attending every Court hearing. Hence, she seeks transfer of proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.421 of 2025 from the file of the Subordinate



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025

WEB COPY


Court, Paramathi, to the file of the Family Court, Sivagangai.

5. Even though notice has been served upon the respondent and his name has been printed in the cause list, he did not appear before this Court either in person or through counsel.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the materials available on records.

7. In the case of ***N.C.V.Aishwarya Vs. A.S.Saravana Karthik Sha*** reported in ***2022 Live Law (SC) 627***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has dealt with the position generally, that it is the wife's convenience, which must be looked into while considering the transfer petition under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure and the relevant passage is extracted hereunder :

“The cardinal principle for exercise of power under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the




WEB COPY

parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.", the bench observed."

8. It is also well settled proposition of law that whenever a transfer petition is filed in matrimonial disputes, the convenience of the wife should be predominantly looked into, and the wife's convenience shall be given preference.

9. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and also taking into account of the inconvenience expressed by the petitioner in attending every court hearing, by travelling four hours journey to reach the Subordinate Court, Paramathi, situated 200 kms away from her Domicile, namely, Thiruppathur, Sivagangai, incurring huge expense, this court inclines to allow the petition.

10. The learned Subordinate Judge, Paramathi, Namakkal



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025

WEB COPY

District, is hereby directed to transfer the entire records pertaining to the case, in H.M.O.P.No.421 of 2025, to the file of the learned District Judge, Family Court, Sivagangai, within a period of **four** weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and on receipt of such records, the learned District Judge, Family Court, Sivagangai, is directed to take the case on file, try the same and dispose of the cases as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

11. Accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

29.07.2025

Index :Yes/No
Speaking Order :Yes/No
Neutral Citation :Yes/No
TM

To

- 1.The Subordinate Judge, Paramathi, Namakkal District.
- 2.The District Judge, Family Court, Sivagangai.



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025

K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

TM

Tr.CMP.(MD)No.415 of 2025
and
C.M.P.(MD)No.11217 of 2025

29.07.2025