



W.P.(MD)No.18933 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.07.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH

W.P.(MD) No.18933 of 2025

K.Govindaraj

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Agricultural Production
Commissioner and Secretary to
Government,
Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.

2. The Director of Agriculture,
Chepauk,
Chennai 600 005.

3. The Joint Director of Agriculture,
Thallakulam,
Madurai 625 002.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent i.e., the Director of Agriculture, Chennai to send the proposals received from the Joint Director of Agriculture, Madurai in his Letter No. PaNi. 1/3690/2015 dated 12.03.2019 and in Letter No. PaNi.6/3690/2015 dated 07.03.2025 within a specified time frame to the Government and the 1st



W.P.(MD)No.18933 of 2025

respondent i.e., the Agricultural Production Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Chennai to pass appropriate orders on receipt of the proposals from the Director of Agriculture, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Visvalingam

For Respondents : Mr.S.R.A.Ramachandran

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking the issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the second respondent to forward the proposals received from the Joint Director of Agriculture, Madurai, as per Letter No. Pa.Ni.1/3690/2015 dated 12.03.2019 and Letter No. Pa.Ni.6/3690/2015 dated 07.03.2025, to the Government within a specified time frame and further directing the first respondent to pass appropriate orders upon receipt of the proposals from the Director of Agriculture, Chennai.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. By consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



W.P.(MD)No.18933 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, although several grounds have been raised in the writ petition, it would suffice if this Court issues a direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's proposal dated 07.03.2025, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

4. Considering the limited request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the second respondent to consider the petitioner's proposal dated 07.03.2025, on its own merits and in accordance with law and to pass appropriate orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

No costs.

14.07.2025

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

PKN

3/5



W.P.(MD)No.18933 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Agricultural Production,
Commissioner and Secretary to
Government,
Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.

2. The Director of Agriculture,
Chepauk,
Chennai 600 005.

3. The Joint Director of Agriculture,
Thallakulam,
Madurai 625 002.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.18933 of 2025

VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD) No.18933 of 2025

14.07.2025