



CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/07/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

Lawrance, (M/44/2025)
S/o.Chellaiah,

..Petitioner/ Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by the Sub Inspector of Police,
Anjugramam Police Station,
Anjugramam,
Kanniyakumari District.
(Crime No.186 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Senthilkumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.186 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

WEB COPY ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Sole Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 329, 127(2), 74, 75 and 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.186 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail,

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.06.2025 at about 09.30 p.m., the petitioner had trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant by climbing over the compound wall and rushed into her house and closed the door and locked from inside and further, the petitioner tried to harass the defacto complainant sexually. When the defacto complainant attempted to shout, the petitioner closed her mouth and threatened to murder her. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and no one was sustained injuries. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may



CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 20.06.2025. Hence, he seeks bail.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that no one was sustained injuries and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. He would further submit that the respondent police have filed a status report in this case and the defacto complainant has not stated that the petitioner abused her in the caste name. He would further submit the though defacto complainant has given a statement before the concerned Magistrate stating that the petitioner has abused her by stating caste name, however on investigation, the Deputy Superintendent of Police has filed a report stating that no offence under SC/ST Act has been made out. He would further submit that the respondent police are not going to be altered the offence since the alleged offence under SC/ST Act is not at all attracted. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed. However, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that no one was sustained injuries and the investigation has been almost completed and taking into consideration of the period of incarceration suffered by



CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-III, Nagercoil, Kanniyakumari District, and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate-III, Nagercoil, Kanniyakumari District.

(c) If the petitioner changes his residential address, he shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate-III, Nagercoil, Kanniyakumari District.

[d] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or



CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

WEB COPY

trial.

[f] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
11/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

MSRM

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-III,
NAGERCOIL, KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

5/6



CRL OP(MD). No.11547 of 2025

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

3 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
DISTRICT PRISON,
NAGERCOIL, KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

4 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANJUGRAMAM POLICE STATION,
ANJUGRAMAM,
KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to D.SENTHILKUMAR Advocate SR.No.7404 (I) DT.11/07/2025

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11547 of 2025

Date :11/07/2025

NM/11.07.2025/ 6P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023