



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.431 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 08.07.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.431 of 2025

Rex Antony James

... Petitioner

versus

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruchirappalli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Cantonment Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1st respondent to consider the representation dated 16.06.2025 and direct the 2nd respondent to initiate necessary action on the petitioner's complaint dated 21.02.2025 and 22.02.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.K.Senthil

For R1 and R2 : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.431 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, with a grievance that his complaints dated 21.02.2025 and 22.02.2025 have not been enquired by the respondent police, has filed this writ petition seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to conduct an enquiry on his complaints dated 21.02.2025 and 22.02.2025.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner's aunt has already lodged a complaint as against him before the respondent Police and the same was registered in Crime No.105 of 2025 on 25.02.2025 for the offence under Sections 115(2), 351(2) of BNS. After the registration of the said complaint, the petitioner has also lodged three complaints and the same were treated as petition enquiry in CSR Nos.191, 224 and 226 of 2025. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor further submits that all the three complaints of the petitioner were enquired and the same were closed as civil dispute.

3. This Court considered the rival submissions made.



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.431 of 2025

4. The petitioner has approached this Court that his complaints dated 21.02.2025 and 22.02.2025 have not been enquired by the respondent police properly. According to the respondent Police, they have conducted an enquiry on the petitioner's complaints and closed the same as civil dispute.

5. Therefore, this writ petition is closed with a direction to the respondent police to furnish a copy of the closure report to petitioner enabling him to workout his remedy in the manner known to law. No costs.

08.07.2025

ogy

NCC : Yes / No.

Index : Yes / No.

Internet : Yes / No.

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruchirappalli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Cantonment Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli.

3 / 4



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.431 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.431 of 2025

08.07.2025