



Rev.Aplc (MD) No.84 of 2023
and
CMP (MD) No.14866 of 2023

C. SARAVANAN, J.

It is informed by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that this case was listed today under the caption “For Being Mentioned”.

2. The learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted that, instead of recording his appearance, it has been mentioned as ‘No representation for the Petitioners’ in the first paragraph of the Order dated 12.12.2025 in Review Application (MD).No.84 of 2023, and therefore prayed to include his name.

3. Considering the above submission, as the decision of this Court is not going to be altered and no harm would be caused by including the name of the counsel for the Petitioners, the Registry is directed to incorporate the name of the learned counsel who appeared for the Petitioners in the Order dated 12.12.2025 in Review Application (MD).No.84 of 2023, wherever necessary, and issue a fresh order copy to the parties concerned.

10.04.2026

klt



WEB COPY

Rev.Aplc (MD) No.84 of 2023
and CMP (MD) No.14866 of 2023



C.SARAVANAN, J.

klt

Rev.Aplc (MD) No.84 of 2023
and
CMP (MD) No.14866 of 2023

10.04.2026



WEB COPY

Rev.Applc (MD) No.84 of 2023
and CMP (MD) No.14866 of 2023



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN

Review Application (MD)No.84 of 2023

in

C.R.P.(MD).No.1012 of 2023

1. S.P.S.Murugesan
2. Pushpavalli
3. S.P.S.Palanivel

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. A.T.Chinnadurai
2. C.M.Murugesan
3. A.T.C.Subramani
4. C.Radhakrishnan
5. C.Manikandan
6. The District Collector,
District Collector Office,
Dindigul.
7. The Tahsildar,
Tahsildar Office,
Kodaikanal
Dindigul District

... Respondents

For R1 to R5 : Mr.S.Rajasekar



WEB COPY



ORDER

No representation for the Petitioners and the Respondents 6 and 7.

2. There is no merit in this application for review of the order passed by this Court rejecting C.R.P.(MD).No.1012 of 2023 filed by the petitioners, who appear to be the brothers and sister of the Late.Ganesan, who died along with his wife and minor child.

3. The challenge to the impleading of the private respondents herein, based on a reading of Section 15(1)(b) of the Hindu Succession Act, is the subject matter of suit which can be decided by the Trial Court at the behest of the petitioners as plaintiff in O.S.No.8 of 2021.

4. All the differences that are available in law can be canvassed by the petitioners before the Trial Court.

5. In view thereof, this review application is liable to be dismissed, and accordingly, it is dismissed.



WEB COPY

raja

Rev.Applc (MD) No.84 of 21
and CMP (MD) No.14866 of 21



12.12.2025

To

1. The District Collector,
District Collector Office,
Dindigul.
2. The Tahsildar,
Tahsildar Office,
Kodaikanal,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY

Rev.Aplc (MD) No.84 of 2023
and CMP (MD) No.14866 of 2023



C.SARAVANAN, J.

raja

Review Application (MD)No.84 of 2023
in
C.R.P.(MD).No.1012 of 2023



WEB COPY

Rev.Aplc (MD) No.84 of 2025
and CMP (MD) No.14866 of 2025



12.12.2025