

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.11425 of 2025

Subramaniyan,
S/o.Karuppanan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Uppiliyapuram Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.312 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Balamurugan.M,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.312 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 121, 351 (2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.312 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is working as a field employee in TNEB, Thuraiyur Taluk, Uppiliyapuram, Kottaipalayam Sub Office. On 21.06.2025, in order to comply with the instructions of his superior officer, the de-facto complainant disconnected the electrical fuse of the agricultural line to the petitioner's land. Upon knowing this, the petitioner approached the EB office, threatened the de-facto complainant and his superior using filthy language. Thereafter, while the de-facto complainant was working near a school, the petitioner, along with some others, assaulted him and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioner is the sole accused in this case. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking note of the fact that as the date of registration of F.I.R. is 22.06.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thuraiyur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thuraiyur and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thuraiyur. In the event of any change in his



residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial

Magistrate, Thuraiyur;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily twice i.e. at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30 p.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.


Sd/-

07.07.2025

// True Copy //

/ /2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thuraiyur.

2. Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Uppiliyapuram Police Station,
Trichy District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11425 of 2025
Date : 07/07/2025

CT (23/07/2025) 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023