



REV.APLC(MD). No.107 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : **23.10.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

REV.APLC(MD). No.107 of 2022
and C.M.P.(MD)No.6372 of 2022

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by its Secretary,
Department of Revenue,
St.George Fort, Chennai.

2. The District Collector,
Tenkasi District.

3. The Tahsildar,
Thiruvengadam Taluk,
Tenkasi District.

... Petitioners

(The Tahsildar, Thiruvengadam Taluk, Thiruvengadam, Tirunelveli District was the 3rd appellant in Writ Appeal and after bifurcation Tenkasi District was created. Hence, Tenkasi District)

Vs

1. 1. K.Ponnusamy

2. The Principal Accountant General (A & E) Pension,
391, Anna Salai,
Chennai.

... Respondents



REV.APLC(MD). No.107 of 2022

(Cause Title is accepted vide Court order dated 18.07.2022 made in C.M.P.(MD)No.551 of 2022 in Rev.Aplc(MD)SR.No.50224 of 2021)

Prayer: Application filed under Order 47 Rules 1 and 2 r/w Section 114 of CPC against the common order dated 20.01.2021 made in C.M.P. (MD)No.5740 of 2019 in W.A.(MD)No.SR 22129 of 2019.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.R.A.Ramachandran,
Addl. Govt. Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *R.Vijayakumar, J.*)

The present Review Application has been filed by the appellants in W.A.(MD)SR.No.22129 of 2019, seeking to review the order dated 20.01.2021.

2. A perusal of the order reveals that the State had preferred a Writ Appeal challenging the order of the Writ Court in W.P.(MD)No. 13665 of 2018, dated 10.07.2018 with a delay of 338 days. This application came to be dismissed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 20.01.2021. This order is sought to be reviewed.



REV.APLC(MD). No.107 of 2022

3. A perusal of the order of the Co-ordinate Bench reveals that the Bench was not convinced with the reasons assigned for the delay and the Bench was also of the opinion that there are no merits in the Writ Appeal.

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the review applicant submits that subsequently there is change in law and therefore, the Review Application should be entertained.

5. It is trite law that change in law is not a ground for a review. We do not find any reason to interfere in the order of the Co-ordinate Bench in dismissing the application to condone the delay in entertaining the Writ Appeal.

6. Accordingly, the Review Application stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(C.V.K., J.) (R.V., J.)
23.10.2025

Index : Yes / No



REV.APLC(MD). No.107 of 2022

NCC
VSM

: Yes / No

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

vsm

REV.APLC(MD) No.107 of 2022

Date : 23.10.2025