



CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03.07.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

Anbalagan

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.141 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.141 of
2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Veerakumar,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 3 of the TNPPDL Act, 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Section 21(4) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.141 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 20.06.2025, A2 had taken sand from his patta land for the purpose of forming a *Pannai Kuttai* (farm pond). In the process, it is alleged that the bund of the *kanmoi* (irrigation tank) was inadvertently damaged. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by the Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that there are totally two accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. He would further submit that there are no previous cases against the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

He would also submit that the investigation is still pending, and therefore, he opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. This Court has heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of offence allegedly committed by the petitioner and taking note the quantity of minerals involved in this case and also taking into consideration the fact that there are no previous cases against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar



CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

WEB COPY

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai.


[c] the petitioner shall make a non-refundable deposit of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit of Chairman / District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned District and on such deposit being made, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner.

(d) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(f) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*.



CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

(h) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated *supra*.

Sd/-

03.07.2025

// True Copy //

/ /2025

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court

pal

TO

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruvadanai.
2. To Through: The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



CRL OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025

5. The Credit of Chairman / District Collector,
District Mineral Foundation Trust,
Ramanathapuram District.

+1 CC to M/s. T.VEERAKUMAR, Advocate(SR- 7110 [I] dated 03/07/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL.OP(MD).No.11228 of 2025
Date : 03.07.2025

RA - (23.07.2025) 6P/ 7 C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.