



W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :12.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

A.Thiruppathi.

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District.

3. The Block Development Officer,
Reddiyarchathiram Panchayath Union,
Dindigul District.

4. The President,
Sullerumbu Village Panchayat,
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to pay the adequate compensation for illegal acquisition of the petitioner's landed property of an extent of 12 1/2 Cents comprised in Survey No.471/1E situated at Sullerumbu Village,



W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District, for the purpose of laying the road by considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.06.2023 within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr. J. Lawrance
For Respondents : Mr.C.Satheesh
Government Advocate for R1 to R3
No appearance for R4

ORDER

This Writ Petition is filed for a direction to the respondents herein to pay the adequate compensation for illegal acquisition of the petitioner's landed property of an extent of 12 1/2 cents comprised in Survey No.471/1E, situated at Sullerumbu Village, Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District, for the purpose of laying the road by considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.06.2023 within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the subject land, in which patta No.3 stood in the name of his father. However, the respondents 3 and 4 had laid a tar road on the petitioner's patta land. Hence, he has given representation on 05.06.2023 seeking compensation for the land acquired from him. However, till date, no action has been taken. Hence, the present case.



W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

3. The learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that the subject land is marked as 'Nilaviyal Vandi Pathai' and therefore, the road has been laid and further, the subject land is not patta land.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner, in reply, would submit that the subject land is the patta land.

5. This Court has heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. According to the petitioner, the subject land is a patta land. However, according to the respondents, the subject land is a 'Nilaviyal Vandi Pathai'. Hence, the respondents 1 to 3 are directed to ascertain as to whether the subject land is a patta land or vandi pathai and further, consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 05.06.2023, after affording a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

NCS : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

12.12.2025

To

1. The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District.

3. The Block Development Officer,
Reddiyarchathiram Panchayath Union,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.,J.

apd

ORDER MADE IN

W.P(MD)No. 16880 of 2023

12.12.2025