



CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

Rajkumar,
S/o.Venkatachalam,

...Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.10 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Jameelarasu

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.10 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER: This Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody



CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

on 28.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 406,420 of IPC in Crime

WEB COPY

No.10 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto-complainant sought job for his wife and one Rajivgandhi who is the friend of the Defacto-complainant introduced the petitioner herein and in turn the petitioner assured to get a job in Central Government for the wife of the defacto-complainant and received Rs.11,50,000/- from the defacto-complainant. In turn, the defacto-complainant received appointment letter from New Delhi with Government emblem and further he gave Rs.23,00,000/- to the petitioner. Later, the defacto-complainant knew that the said appointment letter is fake one. The petitioner cheated the defacto-complainant . Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 28.05.2025 nearly 43 days. Hence, he seeks bail.



CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that this petitioner gave assurance to the defacto-complainant that he will arrange Central Government Job to the wife of the defacto-complainant. Believing his words, the defacto-complainant gave huge amount to the petitioner. This petitioner cheated the money. It is the case of job racketing. The investigation is not yet completed. This petitioner is having one previous case similar in nature. Hence, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that in this case, FIR was registered on 28.05.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, the petitioner/accused is in judicial custody from 28.05.2025, taking into consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thanjavur and on further conditions that :-



CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.


[b] The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and contact number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thanjavur. If the petitioner changes his residential address, he shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thanjavur;

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30a.m. until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial



CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with
WEB COPY
law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the
learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
in P.K.Shaji .vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
10/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /


10/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GVN

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
THANJAVUR.

2.THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.



CRL OP(MD).No.11013 of 2025

3. THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY.

4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

5. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11013 of 2025
Date :10/07/2025

PR/10.07 .2025 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023