



CRL OP(MD). No.11000 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.11000 of 2025

Ponnuchamy @ Ponnusamy,
S/o.Muthaiya

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Vembakkottai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.194 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Prabha,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.194 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.11000 of 2025


ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 9(B)(1)(a) of the Indian Explosives Act, 1884 in Crime No.194 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner/A1 runs a fireworks factory under the name and style of 'M.P. Fireworks'. The said factory had already been prohibited from manufacturing crackers. However, despite the prohibition, on 24.06.2025, when the respondent police inspected the premises, it was found that the second accused, who is the Supervisor of the said factory, along with some workers, was engaged in manufacturing crackers without obtaining any permission and without adhering to any safety measures. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.11000 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there are totally two accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. A2 was arrested and is still in custody. He further submitted that there are three previous cases registered against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the gravity of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that there are 3 previous cases registered against the petitioner, and that the co-accused was arrested and is still under custody, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
30/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.11000 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1.The Inspector of Police,
Vembakkottai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11000 of 2025

Date : 30/06/2025

SB/15.07.2025 4P/3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023