



Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 25.07.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR**

Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

in

W.A.(MD)No.385 of 2022

1.R.Udhayakumar (Oor Gounder)
2.T.S.Thirumalai (Thalavi Gounder)
3.P.Raju (Nattuk Gounder) (Died) ...Petitioners/1 to 3 Respondents

Vs.

1.Rasathi ...1st Respondent/Appellant
2.The District Collector,
Dindigul District.
3.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul District.
4.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul.
5.The Tahsildhar,
Natham Taluk,
Dindigul District.
6.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment ,
Dindigul.
7. The Assistant Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment ,
Dindigul.
8.The Executive Officer,
Arulmigu Thirumogur Kalamega Perumal Temple,



Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

Madurai,
As Incharge Kailasanathar Temple,
Natham.

9.C.Saravanamuthu

10.S.Ajith Kumar

11.M.Suruli

12.Tamilvannan

13.Murugan

14.R.Chinnamuthu

15.Kalaiarasn

16.M.Ganesan

17.Senthil

18.K.Chandramuthu

19.K.Muthuraj

20. K.Lala Krishnan

21.Thangavel

22.Rajiv Gandhi

23.Murugan

24.V.Durai

25.V.Dhanagncheyan

26.S.Selvam

27.K.Suruli

28.Kannan

29.S.Poosaithurai

30.K.Mani

(11 to 30 notice not necessary)

... 2 to 30 Respondents/4 to 32 Respondents

PRAYER: Review Application is filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P.C., to review the order passed in W.A.(MD).No.385 of 2022, dated 21.06.2022, on the file of the Court.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Britto Kanikairaj
for M/s.H.Lakshmi Shankar

For Respondents : Mr.T.Pon Ramkumar for R1
Mr.S.P.Maharajan
Special Government Pleader
for R2 to R8
M/s.R.G.Shankar Ganesh
for R9 and R10
R11 to R30 - Notice not necessary
(vide EB under USR No.22088 of 2022)

* * * * *

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.]

The present review application has been filed, seeking to review the order dated 21.06.2022 made in W.A(MD)No.385 of 2022.

2.The present review application has been filed on the alleged ground that certain observations have been made, which are contrary to the facts of the case.

3.A perusal of the order passed by this Court clearly reveals that the petitioners have sought for prayer as against the official respondents to appoint a Fit Person or an Adhoc Committee for the Management of the Temple. In the mean time, the Hereditary Trustee has been appointed. However, the



Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

appointment of the Hereditary Trustee has not been challenged by the writ petitioners. In such circumstances, this Court has dismissed the Writ Appeal with liberty to the appellants to challenge the appointment of the Hereditary Trustee, if they are so advised. However, without availing the said remedy, the present Review Application has been filed. Therefore, we do not find any error apparent on the face of record. Accordingly, this Review Application stands dismissed. No costs.

[S.M.S., J.] [R.V., J.]
25.07.2025

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
NCC: Yes/No

RJR



Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul.
- 4.The Tahsildhar,
Natham Taluk,
Dindigul District.
- 5.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment ,
Dindigul.
6. The Assistant Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment ,
Dindigul.
- 7.The Executive Officer,
Arulmigu Thirumogur Kalamega Perumal Temple,
Madurai,
As Incharge Kailasanathar Temple,
Natham.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

RJR

Rev.Aplc(MD)No.121 of 2022
in
W.A.(MD)No.385 of 2022

25.07.2025