

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

DATED : 21.07.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Crl.OP(MD)No.11664 of 2025

1. Muthaiah @ Karuppu
2. Muthuraja @ Raja
3. Muthuhariharasudhan @ Sudhan
4. Muthuraja @ Muthupackiam
5. M. Thoss Prakash @ Prakash
6. Selvaraj @ Selvam
7. Muthuvijay

... Petitioners

Vs

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Alanganallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No. 154/2025.

- 2.Thipakkar

...Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No. 154 of 2025 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioner

For R1

For R2

: Mr.A.S.Rajeswari

: Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,

Government Advocate(Crl.side)

: Mr.S.S.Sundarapandian




Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners are accused in Crime No.154 of 2025, on the file of the first respondent police, for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 127(2), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023. They have moved this petition to quash the above proceedings pending against them, on the ground that the issue has been amicably settled with the defacto complainant.

2. The case has been registered for the offence 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 127(2), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, of which, the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b) of BNS are not compoundable. However, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** [2017 9 SCC 641] and in ***The State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Dhruv Gurjar and Another*** [(2019) 2 MLJ Crl 10], has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by the Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

WEB COPY

examine whether the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. It has been repeatedly cautioned that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if it gets settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

3. Here, the prosecution case is that the defacto complainant, who is a practising Advocate has lodged a complaint as against the petitioners that the first petitioner's goats came to the defacto complainant's fodder shed and ate the fodder. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him. Based on the complaint of the second respondent /the defacto complainant, the above case has been registered as against the petitioners.

4. Since the conflict is between the private individuals and not affecting the Society at large, this Court entertained this petition, ordered notice and also directed the investigation officer to ascertain as to whether the compromise arrived between the parties is a voluntary



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

one, without any threat or coercion.
WEB COPY

5. The petitioners and the defacto complainant are present before this Court today and submit that on the intervention of the elders of the Village, they have amicably settled the issue and therefore, the defacto complainant is not inclined to prosecute the case further. To that effect, they have also filed a joint compromise memo, dated 03.07.2025.

6. The investigation officer, after verification, has filed a report that the compromise arrived upon between the parties is genuine, without any threat or coercion.

7. This Court has verified the parties with their Aadhar Cards and also verified as to the present status. The parties have expressed their willingness to solve the issue.

8. In the case on hand, the offences are purely individual / personal in nature and the conflict is between the private individuals




Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

WEB COPY

and it is not affecting the Society at large. It involves the petitioners and the second respondent / defacto complainant. Quashing the case will not affect any overriding public interest. There is a case and counter case. The defacto complainant submitted that he does not want to prosecute the case any further. Under such circumstances, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the case pending, even though some of the offences involved are not compoundable in nature. On the other hand, keeping the proceedings pending will only swell the mental agony of the parties.

9. In view of the above position and following the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases referred supra, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings, though certain offences are non-compoundable, in order to avoid further conflict between the parties.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the proceedings in Crime No. 154 of 2025, on the file of the first respondent police is hereby quashed. The joint compromise memo



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

03.07.2025, signed by the parties, shall form part and parcel of this
WEB COPY
order.

21.07.2025

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

vrn

To

The Inspector of Police,
Alanganallur Police Station,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11664 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J

vrn

Order made in
Crl.OP(MD)No.11664 of 2025

21.07.2025